50-State Property Tax Comparison Study

Payable Year 2005

A Report Produced Cooperatively by Member States of the

National Taxpayers Conference







50-State Property Tax Comparison Study, Copyright April, 2006 Minnesota Taxpayers Association and other participating associations of The National Taxpayers Conference This book may not be reproduced in whole or in part without written permission from MTA or a participating NTC member association (see Acknowledgements on next page for participating NTC association contacts). For information contact:

Minnesota Taxpayers Association 85 East 7th Place, Suite 250 Saint Paul, Minnesota 55101 651-224-7477

Price: \$100 (free to members of participating NTC member associations)

Acknowledgements

This report would not have been possible without the cooperation and assistance of many individuals. Special thanks are due to our sister taxpayer associations who, along with MTA, are members of the National Taxpayers Conference, both for their financial and data editing help. Aaron Twait, MTA Research Associate, did most of the research, calculations, and text updating. Lynn Reed, MTA Executive Director, did the final editing for publication.

We would also like to acknowledge the financial and data assistance provided by the Center for Applied Economics at the University of Kansas School of Business, under the direction of Professor Arthur P. Hall. For further information about the Kansas data or the Center you may contact Dr. Hall at (785) 864-5134.

About the Minnesota Taxpayers Association

The Minnesota Taxpayers Association did most of the research and analysis for this study in cooperation with other members of the NTC (see below). MTA was founded in 1926 for the purpose of disseminating factual information to educate and inform all Minnesotans about Minnesota tax and spending policies. For eighty years, the Association has advocated for the adoption of sound fiscal policies through its research efforts, publications, and meetings.

The Association is a non-profit, non-partisan group supported by membership dues. For information about membership, call (651) 224-7477, or visit our web site at www.mntax.org.

About the Iowa Taxpayers Association

The Iowa Taxpayers Association (ITA) is the only statewide organization focused exclusively on promoting a fair, equitable, and competitive tax environment for business. Since 1935, ITA has been at the forefront of nonpartisan business tax policy research, education, and issue advocacy in the state.

ITA represents a broad spectrum of business taxpayers in Iowa — small and large companies, manufacturers, multinational corporations, accounting and law firms, other professional associations. In essence, these are the state's most exemplary corporate citizens and organizations that strive for fair and predictable administration of business tax statutes and rules. They work together with the goal of making Iowa a positive, competitive environment in which to do business.

The Association is a non-profit, non-partisan group supported by membership dues. For information about membership, call (515) 243-0300, or visit our web site at www.iowataxpayers.org.

About the National Taxpayer Conference

The National Taxpayers Conference (NTC) is a private, nonprofit corporation whose members are the full-time chief executive officers of statewide associations devoted to the pursuit of objective and unbiased analysis of public finance issues. Each member association shares a common mission—to provide accurate, unbiased research on state and local taxation and spending policies in their respective states. Some NTC members focus on research; others combine research with active taxpayer advocacy through lobbying at the state and local level. All are available to answer your questions. The following NTC states contributed financially toward this study. If you have a question regarding a particular state's tax calculation, please feel free to contact these directors:

Iowa Taxpayers Association *Stacey Johnson*, 515-243-0300

Nevada Taxpayers Association *Carole Vilardo 775-882-2697*

New Mexico Tax Research Institute *Jim Eads*, 505-228-7129

Ohio Public Expenditure Council *Rick Yokum, 614-221-7738*

Rhode Island Public Expenditure Council *Gary Sasse, 401-521-6320*

Texas Taxpayers and Research Association *Bill Allaway*, 512-472-8838

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Findings	3
	Payable 2005 Property Tax Ranking: Iowa and Its Five Neighboring States	3
	Summary of the Six Comparison Studies by Property Type and Year	4
	Homestead Property Tax Rankings	5
	The Highest and Lowest Homestead Tax States – Urban	5
	A Summary of Classification Effects – Urban	6
	Commercial Property Tax Rankings	7
	The Highest and Lowest Commercial Tax States – Urban	7
	Industrial Property Tax Rankings	8
	The Highest and Lowest Industrial Tax States - Urban	9
	A Note about the Share of Personal Property Tax to Total Value	10
	Apartment Property Tax Rankings	11
	The Highest and Lowest Apartment Tax States – Urban	11
III.	Rankings Tables – Urban	14
IV.	Rankings Tables – Largest 50 U.S. Cities	23
V.	Ranking Tables – Rural	32
VI.	Appendix A: Methodology and Assumptions	41
	Data Collection	41
	Components of the Property Tax Calculation	41
	True Market Value (TMV)	41
	Sales Ratios (SR)	42
	Classification Rates (CR)	43
	Total Local Tax Rate (TR)	43
	Credits (C) 43	
	Property Classes and True Market Values	44
	Real and Personal Property	45
	Real Property	45
	Personal Property – Machinery and Equipment	45
	Personal Property – Inventories	45
	Personal Property – Fixtures	45
	Effective Tax Rates (ETRs)	45
	Special Property Tax Provisions	45
	What Do Rankings Mean?	46

List of Tables

Table 1:	Payable 2005 Property Tax Rankings: Iowa and Its Five Neighboring States	3
Table 2:	Summary of the Six Comparison Studies by Property Type and Year	4
Table 3:	Urban Cities with Homestead Tax Rankings among the Top Ten for Both Values	5
Table 4:	Urban Cities with Homestead Tax Rankings among the Bottom Ten for Both Values	5
Table 5:	A Measure of Property Classification – Urban	6
Table 6:	Urban Cities with Commercial Tax Rankings among the Top Ten for All Values	7
Table 7:	Urban Cities with Commercial Tax Rankings among the Bottom Ten for All Values	8
Table 8:	Urban Cities with Industrial Tax Rankings among the Top Ten for All Values	9
Table 9:	Urban Cities with Industrial Tax Rankings among the Top Ten for All Values	9
Table 10:	Urban Cities with Industrial Tax Rankings among the Bottom Ten for All Values	10
Table 11:	Urban Cities with Industrial Tax Rankings among the Bottom Ten for All Values	10
Table 12:	Urban Cities with Apartment Tax Rankings among the Top Ten	11
Table 13:	Urban Cities with Apartment Tax Rankings among the Bottom Ten	12
Table 14:	Ratio of Apartment Effective Tax Rates (ETRs) to Homestead Rates, Urban Cities	13
Table 15:	Urban Homestead Property Taxes	14
Table 16:	Urban Homestead Property Taxes for a Median-Value Home – Listed by Net Tax Payable 2005	15
Table 17:	Urban Commercial Property Taxes	16
Table 18:	Urban Industrial Property Taxes (50% Personal Property)	18
Table 19:	Urban Industrial Property Taxes (60% Personal Property)	20
Table 20:	Urban Apartment Property Taxes	22
Table 21:	Top 50 Homestead Property Taxes	23
Table 22:	Top 50 Homestead Property Taxes for a Median-Value Home – Listed by Net Tax Payable 2005	24
Table 23:	Top 50 Commercial Property Taxes	25
Table 24:	Top 50 Industrial Property Taxes (50% Personal Property)	27
Table 25:	Top 50 Industrial Property Taxes (60% Personal Property)	29
Table 26:	Top 50 Apartment Property Taxes	31
Table 27:	Rural Homestead Property Taxes	32
Table 28:	Rural Commercial Property Taxes	34
Table 29:	Rural Industrial Property Taxes (50% Personal Property)	36
Table 30:	Rural Industrial Property Taxes (60% Personal Property)	38
Table 31	Rural Apartment Property Taxes	40

I. Introduction

This study reports on relative property tax burdens across the United States. Effective property tax rates are compared for four classes of property located in the largest city of each state and the District of Columbia, plus a typical rural area. As tax rates have fluctuated since our first study in 1995, many of our rural cities have been changed, in order to keep our examples typical. Where a comprehensive statewide list of property tax rates is available, we have chosen the rural area ourselves. In cases where such a list is not available, we let states pick the rural area to be included, asking only that the taxes for that area be near the average for a rural area in the state.

This study is most useful when used in connection with other information about state and local tax structures. Some states have relatively high property tax levies because their local governments are more dependent on "own-source" revenue (revenue they raise themselves). Other states have higher income and sales taxes in part to finance a greater share of the cost of local government. Also, the property tax on a selected class of property may be relatively high or low due to state or local policies designed to redistribute property tax burdens across the classes of property through exemptions, differential assessment rates, or other classification schemes.

As in the five previous studies (1995, 1998, 2000, 2002 and 2004), the values used for our examples remain fixed, to facilitate comparisons with those studies. We recognize that in many urban areas, our lowest-valued properties are not typical values. We deliberately used fixed values in this study because our goal was to compare the tax burden resulting from each state's tax structure, unaffected by local real estate markets. However, to provide a more complete picture, rankings for median-value homes were added to this study in Table 16 on page 15 and in Table 22 on page 24.

Data for property tax calculations were collected in one of two ways. Where possible, property tax data was collected directly from information available through various state and local websites. Where such reports were not available, property taxes were calculated using a contact-verification approach in which state and local tax experts were asked to provide information. Those calculations were, in turn, subject to local verification when necessary.

The primary difference between this study and the preceding five is the addition of new tables comparing the largest fifty cities in the United States. Rankings for these cities have been requested before, and their inclusion means a significantly larger portion of the U.S. population is covered by this study, increasing its usefulness. We have also dropped the \$70,000 homestead example from the urban tables.

Beginning with our 2004 study, additional assumptions were included regarding the amount of personal property that industrial parcels contain. Previous studies have assumed that industrial parcels contain 50% personal property and 50% real property. Based on research of actual property taxes paid in various states, we have included a second scenario in this study of an industrial parcel that is comprised of 40% real property value and 60% personal property value. We believe that these two scenarios provide a reasonable range within which most industrial properties fall.

Some of the cities surveyed changed from the 2004 study to the present 2005 study. In the case of the urban cities, these changes occurred primarily because the largest city was not deemed representative of urban areas in the state. In those cases, the state's second-largest city was added to the study. In the case of the rural cities, changes were made because the city surveyed in 2004 was no longer typical of the rural cities in their respective states, either in size or tax rate.

Second urban areas in a state that have been added for the 2005 edition include: Buffalo, NY and Aurora, IL (replacing Naperville, IL). Miami-Dade, FL no longer qualifies for consideration in our urban tables as the largest city in the state but has been included in the comparison of fifty largest U.S. cities. Lexington-Fayette, KY and Richmond, VA no longer meet any of our criteria and have been removed from the study.

Rural cities that changed include: Fort Deposit, AL (2004), to Millbrook, AL (2005); Grinnell, IA (2004), to Hampton, IA (2005); Choteau, MT (2004), to Dillon, MT (2005); Chapin, SC (2004), to Mullins, SC (2005); Spink, SD (2004), to Sisseton, SD (2005); South Burlington, VT (2004), to Morristown, VT (2005); and Antigo, WI (2004), to Mayville, WI (2005).

This study assumes that the "true market value" of each of several parcels of property is the same in all 123 locations studied. Because the "assessed value" of property varies from state to state, sometimes significantly, our tax calculations necessarily account for the effects of local assessment practices as well as statutory tax provisions. Appendix A of this report provides a review of the methodology used in determining the property tax liabilities of the four sample property types and the important assumptions necessary to standardize the calculations and make the numbers comparable across the states.

Section II includes analysis of the highest and lowest property tax states, Iowa's neighboring states and a summary of the six comparison studies by property type and year.

Sections III, IV and V contain the complete set of comparison tables referenced in this report.

II. Findings

Table 1: Payable 2005 Property Tax Ranking: Iowa and Its Five Neighboring States By Property Class and Value for Largest Urban and Typical Rural Areas

By Property Clas	ss and value			Typical R	urai Areas	
VALUE:	\$70	Homesteads	\$ \$150	000	\$300,000	Median Value
VALUE.	\$70	Rural Only	Urban	Rural	Urban Only	Urban Only
U.S. Average Tax		\$ 872	\$ 2,048	\$ 2,004	\$ 4,286	\$ 2,901
Iowa Tax		1,235	2,605	2,894	5,429	2,512
States		Rank	Rank	Rank	Rank	Rank (Value)
Iowa (Des Moines, Hampton)		12	14	10	14	27 (\$145,100)
Illinois (Chicago, Carlinville)		9	23	4	19	11 (265,400)
IL (Aurora—Chicago value)			5		4	3 (265,400)
Minnesota (Minneapolis, Glencoe)		35	29	29	28	15 (237,700)
Missouri (Kansas City, Boonville)		27	20	30	22	30 (145,100
Nebraska (Omaha, Mullen)		10	8	11	9	20 (137,300)
S. Dakota (Sioux Falls, Sisseton)		14	25	16	30	39 (137,700)
		Commercia				
VALUE:		0,000	\$1 M			Million
	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural
U.S. Average Tax	\$ 2,428	\$ 1,987	\$24,669	\$20,046	\$619,400	
Iowa Tax	4,124	3,103	41,236	31,029	1,030,896	
States	Rank	Rank	Rank	Rank	Rank	Rank
Iowa	5	6	5	6	5	7
Illinois (Chicago, Carlinville)	6	12	6	13	7	13
Illinois (Aurora)	22		22		22	
Minnesota Missouri	21 11	14 17	14 12	7 17	13 12	6 17
Nebraska	23	16	23	16	23	16
South Dakota	36	21	37	21	37	21
		Real Property/50			31	21
VALUE:		0,000	\$1 M		\$25	Million
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural
U.S. Average Tax	\$ 3,078	\$ 2,542	\$31,719	\$25,916	\$795,687	
Iowa Tax	4,124	3,103	41,236	31,029	1,030,896	
States	Rank	Rank	Rank	Rank	Rank	Rank
Iowa	12	10	13	11	14	12
Illinois (Chicago, Carlinville)	14	19	16	22	16	22
Illinois (Aurora)	35		36		36	
Minnesota	33	21	23	14	21	11
Missouri	8	13	9	14	9	16
Nebraska	19	11	21	12	22	13
South Dakota	46	28	46	28	46	28
		Real Property/60				
VALUE:		0,000	\$1 Mi		, ,	Million
TI C A TO	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural
U.S. Average Tax	\$3,574	\$2,944	\$36,677	\$29,941	\$920,370	
Iowa Tax	4,124 Rank	3,103 Rank	41,236 Rank	31,029 Rank	1,030,896 Rank	775,773 Rank
States	18	18	19	19	19	20
Illinois (Chicago, Carlinville)	20	26	21	27	21	20 27
Illinois (Aurora)	39		39		39	21
Minnesota	38	28	30	20	28	18
Missouri	7	12	8	14	10	14
Nebraska	19	11	20	13	20	13
South Dakota	48	33	48	33	48	33
		Apartments	<u> </u>			
VALUE:	\$600	0,000				
	Urban	Rural				
U.S. Average Tax	\$11,470	\$9,752				
Iowa Tax	24,741	18,618				
States	Rank	Rank				
Iowa	4	3				
Illinois (Chicago, Carlinville)	11	7				
Illinois (Aurora)	12					
la et	28	29				
Minnesota						
Missouri	32	34				

Table 2: Summary of the Six Comparison Studies* by Property Type and Year

	Table 2: Summary of the Six Comparison Studies* by Property Type and Year											
Property Type and Value of	Tax Paya 19	able	Taxo Payal 199	ble	Tax Paya 200	ble	Tax Pays 20	able	Taxes Payable 2004 IA Rank		Taxes Payable 2005 IA Rank	
Real Portion	IA R	ank	IA I	Rank	IA I	Rank	IA R	lank				
Homestead	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural
\$70,000	4	13	11	18	15	20	16	19	16	16	xx	12
\$150,000	3	11	10	17	14	19	14	19	16	16	14	10
\$300,000	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	15	16	14	10
Commercial												
\$100,000	5	3	4	5	8	18	6	12	3	4	5	6
\$1,000,000	6	3	5	5	10	18	7	13	3	4	5	6
\$25,000,000			5	5	10	19	7	13	3	4	5	7
Industrial (50-50)												
\$100,000	8	13	7	11	9	21	14	20	11	12	12	10
\$1,000,000	14	14	9	10	10	23	16	21	12	14	13	11
\$25,000,000			9	11	11	23	17	22	12	14	14	12
Industrial (40-60)												
\$100,000	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	18	19	18	18
\$1,000,000	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	20	19	19	19
\$25,000,000	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	20	19	19	20
Apartment												
\$600,000	3	2	2	2	4	12	4	7	3	3	4	3

⁻⁻ The \$25 million parcel examples were not calculated for taxes payable 1995.

** The \$300,000 residential examples and the 40% industrial real property/60% industrial personal property parcel examples were not calculated for taxes payable 1995, 1998, 2000, or 2002. xx The \$70,000 urban residential examples were not calculated for taxes payable 2005.

Homestead Property Tax Rankings

Iowa's Ranking

Iowa's homestead rankings for both urban and rural increased slightly from 2004 to 2005. Rural rankings experienced the sharpest increase with a 2004 ranking of 16 to a new ranking of 10 for \$150,000 and \$300,000 homesteads. This increase may be due, in part, to the newly selected rural comparison city. Grinnell was used as the rural city in the previous studies; however it was no longer representative of Iowa's typical rural city.

The Highest and Lowest Homestead Tax States – Urban

Highest

The states whose largest cities had the highest property tax for all homestead values were as follows:

Table 3: Urban Cities with Homestead Tax Rankings among the Top Ten for Both Values

		\$150,000		\$300	0,000
State	City	Tax	Rank	Tax	Rank
Michigan	Detroit	\$4,850	1	\$9,701	1
New York	Buffalo	\$3,936	2	\$8,067	2
Wisconsin	Milwaukee	\$3,669	3	\$7,431	3
Texas	Houston	\$3,512	4	\$7,217	5
Illinois	Aurora	\$3,469	5	\$7,294	4
Maryland	Baltimore City	\$3,440	6	\$6,881	6
Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	\$3,350	7	\$6,701	7
Nebraska	Omaha	\$3,094	8	\$6,187	9
North Dakota	Fargo	\$2,983	9	\$5,966	10
New Jersey	Newark	\$2,957	10	\$6,514	8

Lowest

The states whose largest cities had the lowest homestead taxes for all values of homes were:

Table 4: Urban Cities with Homestead Tax Rankings among the Bottom Ten for Both Values

		\$150,000		\$300	0,000
State	City	Tax	Rank	Tax	Rank
Massachusetts	Boston	\$242	53	\$1,706	51
Hawaii	Honolulu	\$434	52	\$1,018	53
New York	New York City	\$691	51	\$1,782	50
Colorado	Denver	\$769	50	\$1,538	52
Wyoming	Cheyenne	\$981	49	\$1,963	49
Alabama	Birmingham	\$982	48	\$2,016	48
District of Columbia	Washington	\$1,003	47	\$2,371	47
Utah	Salt Lake City	\$1,197	46	\$2,394	46
West Virginia	Charleston	\$1,233	45	\$2,466	45
Virginia	Virginia Beach	\$1,265	44	\$2,531	44

Most of these states ranked near the bottom simply due to low property tax rates. Some cities ranked low because they also offer sizable homestead exemptions. Washington, D.C. offers a homestead exemption of \$38,000 of assessed value; Honolulu offers a homestead exemption of \$40,000 of assessed value; and Boston, MA, offers a \$113,972 exemption for the \$150,000 home (4.2% higher than the 2004 exemption). In the case of New York City, a \$400 property tax rebate was combined with a low sales ratio to keep it in the Bottom Ten.

A Summary of Classification Effects – Urban

Table 5 provides one summary measure of the degree of property classification from state to state (the degree to which business property is taxed differently from homestead property). It shows the ratio of commercial effective tax rates to homestead effective tax rates using the \$1 million commercial property and the median-value home price for each metropolitan area. This is a change from previous studies, which used the ETRs for homes valued at \$70,000. Using such a low value amplified the effects of homestead exemptions. The median home price provides a more useful denominator for this ratio.

Table 5: A Measure of Property Classification – Urban

					tead Effective Ta						
	(\$1 million	. Commerc	ial/Mea	lian-Valu	ied Home, real pr	operty only)					
	Median Median										
State	City	Value	Ratio	Rank	State	City	Value	Ratio	Rank		
New York	New York City	\$452,700	7.262	1	Vermont	Burlington	172,800	1.267	27		
Massachusetts	Boston	418,500	4.251	2	Montana	Billings	154,500	1.264	28		
Colorado	Denver	248,400	3.497	3	Ohio	Columbus	155,900	1.226	29		
Arizona	Phoenix	243,400	3.127	4	Connecticut	Bridgeport	487,300	1.222	30		
Hawaii	Honolulu	577,800	2.899	5	Texas	Houston	142,500	1.184	31		
Illinois	Chicago	265,400	2.611	6	Florida	Jacksonville	166,600	1.176	32		
Louisiana	New Orleans	152,600	2.601	7	Arkansas	Little Rock	118,900	1.167	33		
Minnesota with state C/I	Minneapolis	237,700	2.548	8	Michigan	Detroit	169,200	1.164	34		
Rhode Island	Providence	291,600	2.496	9	New Mexico	Albuquerque	171,700	1.164	35		
Iowa	Des Moines	145,100	2.381	10	Alaska	Anchorage	195,700	1.111	36		
Kansas	Wichita	106,300	2.227	11	North Dakota	Fargo	132,600	1.111	36		
South Carolina	Columbia	133,700	2.143	12	Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	115,700	1.085	38		
Alabama	Birmingham	156,100	2.103	13	Maryland	Baltimore City	264,700	1.032	39		
District of Columbia	Washington	429,200	2.071	14	Maine	Portland	247,200	1.021	40		
West Virginia	Charleston	121,700	2.000	15	Wisconsin	Milwaukee	216,800	1.017	41		
Missouri	Kansas City	157,100	1.976	16	California	Los Angeles	474,800	1.015	42		
Mississippi	Jackson	131,700	1.938	17	Wyoming	Cheyenne	132,300	1.014	43		
Minnesota minus state C/I	Minneapolis	237,700	1.875		Nevada	Las Vegas	300,100	1.012	44		
Utah	Salt Lake City	169,900	1.870	18	Nebraska	Omaha	137,300	1.009	45		
New York	Buffalo	97,500	1.723	19	North Carolina	Charlotte	179,600	1.000	46		
U.S. Average			1.757		New Hampshire	Manchester	136,500	1.000	47		
Illinois	Aurora	265,400	1.703	19	Oregon	Portland	238,000	1.000	47		
Indiana	Indianapolis	124,600	1.697	20	Washington	Seattle	310,300	1.000	47		
Tennessee	Memphis	150,100	1.600	22	New Jersey	Newark	414,400	1.000	50		
Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	211,000	1.559	23	Delaware	Wilmington	211,000	1.000	51		
Idaho	Boise	161,800	1.453	24	Virginia	Virginia Beach	192,000	0.953	52		
South Dakota	Sioux Falls	137,700	1.381	25	Kentucky	Louisville	136,800	0.911	53		
Georgia	Atlanta	166,500	1.315	26	-						

A ratio of 1.0 indicates that no classification is apparent (at least as it relates to the relationship between these two property types, which are typically the target of most classification systems). A ratio greater than 1.0 indicates some degree of classification, broadly defined, with higher values reflecting a greater degree of classification.

The ratios were calculated for real property only, after adjusting for differences in assessment practices. Differences in the quality of assessments among various classes of property can produce a de facto classification system even in the absence of statutory classification schemes.

States that rank near the top of this list do so because of extreme differences in classification ratios between these two types of property. For instance, in New York City, residential property is assessed at 8% of value while commercial property is assessed at 45% of value. In other cases differences in tax rates and/or homestead exemptions or credits account for the differences, such as in Boston; where roughly 25% of the value of the median home is excluded from taxation, and the homestead tax rate is roughly one-third that of commercial and industrial properties.

There were two locations that had a ratio below 1.000, meaning that their classification systems favor commercial properties over homesteads. This is simply a function of applying the sales ratio. Commercial properties in these locations are under-assessed when compared to homestead properties.

Commercial Property Tax Rankings

Iowa's Ranking

The three commercial properties studied are parcels consisting of: \$100,000 real property value with \$20,000 of personal property; \$1 million real property value with \$200,000 of personal property; and \$25 million real property value with \$5 million of personal property.

Iowa's commercial property tax rankings remained stable since 2004. All rankings moved down two to three places; however Iowa still ranks in the top ten highest commercial property taxes for both urban and rural rankings.

The Highest and Lowest Commercial Tax States - Urban

Highest

The states whose largest cities had the highest commercial property taxes for all values of property in 2005 were:

Table 6: Urban Cities with Commercial Tax Rankings among the Top Ten for All Values

		\$100,000 Business		\$1 million	Business	\$25 million Business		
State	City	Tax	Rank	Tax	Rank	Tax	Rank	
New York	New York City	\$4,641	1	\$46,411	1	\$1,160,278	1	
Michigan	Detroit	\$4,612	2	\$46,117	2	\$1,152,916	2	
Rhode Island	Providence	\$4,568	3	\$45,679	3	\$1,141,975	3	
New York	Buffalo	\$4,399	4	\$43,993	4	\$1,099,823	4	
Iowa	Des Moines	\$4,124	5	\$41,236	5	\$1,030,896	5	
Illinois	Chicago	\$3,911	6	\$39,112	6	\$ 977,803	7	
Massachusetts	Boston	\$3,562	7	\$35,621	8	\$ 890,530	8	
Maryland	Baltimore City	\$3,521	8	\$35,208	9	\$ 880,200	9	
Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	\$3,482	9	\$34,822	10	\$ 870,540	10	

New York City moved into the top spot this year, displacing Detroit. Buffalo, New York is new to the study (added as the second largest city because of the unique nature of the state's largest city) and debuted in the third spot for each parcel value. Baltimore and Philadelphia were also new to the list for 2005, with Baltimore having just missed the Top Ten in 2005, while Pennsylvania moved up nearly 20 spots to enter the Top Ten. (Philadelphia business properties are subject to a 46.2 mill Business Use and Occupancy Tax about which we were not informed during previous studies.

Including this tax increases the property tax rate by 50% on commercial, industrial and apartment properties in Philadelphia, resulting in the sharp increase in taxes and ranking.)

Lowest

States with the lowest commercial property taxes for their largest city for 2005 were:

Table 7: Urban Cities with Commercial Tax Rankings among the Bottom Ten for All Values

		\$100,000 Business		\$1 million Business		\$25 million Business	
State	City	Tax	Rank	Tax	Rank	Tax	Rank
Wyoming	Cheyenne	\$798	53	\$7,983	53	\$199,568	53
Delaware	Wilmington	\$1,030	52	\$10,300	52	\$257,494	52
Hawaii	Honolulu	\$1,054	51	\$10,539	51	\$263,471	51
Virginia	Virginia Beach	\$1,100	50	\$11,003	50	\$275,068	50
Washington	Seattle	\$1,165	49	\$11,650	49	\$291,249	49
North Carolina	Charlotte	\$1,363	48	\$13,626	48	\$340,655	48
Nevada	Las Vegas	\$1,376	47	\$13,762	47	\$344,046	47
California	Los Angeles	\$1,387	46	\$13,872	46	\$346,790	46
Kentucky	Louisville	\$1,393	45	\$13,925	45	\$348,132	45
New Mexico	Albuquerque	\$1,416	44	\$14,164	44	\$354,108	44

As with the homestead Bottom Ten list, most of these municipalities ranked near the bottom simply due to low property tax rates. Most of these cities also assess far below market value--notably, Wilmington, Delaware, with a sales ratio of 33.88%.

Industrial Property Tax Rankings

Industrial property is considered separately because industrial properties tend to have higher proportions of personal property than commercial properties, and states often vary in their tax treatment of personal property.

We used the same set of real value assumptions as used for the commercial property (\$100,000, \$1 million, and \$25 million). In our first four studies, we assumed that personal property value comprised 50% of the total parcel value. Recent research corroborates that assumption, but there was evidence of enough variability among the states that we added a second example to the body of our report that assumes 40% real property and 60% personal property for industrial parcels. This provides a range of personal property percentages within which we believe most industrial parcels will fall.

We also specified the mix of personal property between machinery/equipment, inventories, and fixtures (see the methodology section in Appendix A for definitions). For all assumptions, the mix was set in the ratio of 5:4:1 – that is, for properties with 50% personal and 50% real property, the \$100,000 real value parcel is assumed to contain \$100,000 worth of total personal property, of which \$50,000 is assumed to be machinery and equipment; \$40,000 inventories; and \$10,000 fixtures. For properties with 60% personal and 40% real property, the \$100,000 real value parcel is assumed to contain \$150,000 worth of total personal property, of which \$75,000 is assumed to be machinery and equipment; \$50,000 inventories; and \$15,000 fixtures. The same percentages are used for the \$1 million and \$25 million examples.

Iowa's Ranking

Iowa's industrial property tax ranking remained virtually unchanged from 2004. Urban and rural industrial properties (50% personal property calculation) rank in the top 15. Under the 60% personal property calculation, the properties rank in the top 20.

The Highest and Lowest Industrial Tax States – Urban

Highest

The states whose largest cities ranked in the Top Ten for all three industrial values for parcels with 50% personal property valuation were:

Table 8: Urban Cities with Industrial Tax Rankings among the Top Ten for All Values (for parcels with 50% personal property valuation)

(101 parters with 50 / 0 personal property valuation)									
		\$100,000	Business	\$1 million	Business	\$25 million E	Business		
State	City	Tax	Rank	Tax	Rank	Tax	Rank		
Michigan	Detroit	\$6,549	1	\$65,490	1	\$1,637,238	1		
South Carolina	Columbia	\$6,174	2	\$61,740	2	\$1,543,500	2		
Texas	Houston	\$6,132	3	\$61,323	3	\$1,533,075	3		
Mississippi	Jackson	\$5,132	4	\$51,324	5	\$1,283,101	5		
Louisiana	New Orleans	\$4,969	5	\$49,689	6	\$1,242,236	6		
New York	New York City	\$4,641	6	\$46,411	7	\$1,160,278	7		
Indiana	Indianapolis	\$4,549	7	\$45,490	8	\$1,137,253	8		
Missouri	Kansas City	\$4,454	8	\$44,538	9	\$1,113,444	9		
New York	Buffalo	\$4,399	9	\$43,993	10	\$1,099,823	10		

The tax burden on industrial properties in Columbia, South Carolina's fell since 2004, and Detroit regained the top ranking. New to the Top Ten in 2005 was Jackson, Mississippi, which just missed the Top Ten in 2004, and Buffalo, New York. Wichita, Kansas dropped from the list, mainly because of slight reduction in the property tax rate and the sales ratio for industrial properties. Providence, Rhode Island fell from the list because revaluation reduced the sales ratio for industrial properties.

The states whose largest cities ranked in the Top Ten for all three industrial values for parcels with 60% personal property valuation were:

Table 9: Urban Cities with Industrial Tax Rankings among the Top Ten for All Values (for parcels with 60% personal property valuation)

		\$100,000	Business	\$1 million	Business	\$25 million l	Business
State	City	Tax	Rank	Tax	Rank	Tax	Rank
Michigan	Detroit	\$7,907	1	\$79,069	1	\$1,976,730	1
Texas	Houston	\$7,665	2	\$76,654	2	\$1,916,343	2
South Carolina	Columbia	\$7,332	3	\$73,316	3	\$1,832,906	3
Mississippi	Jackson	\$6,416	4	\$64,160	5	\$1,603,988	5
Louisiana	New Orleans	\$6,254	5	\$62,536	6	\$1,563,405	6
Indiana	Indianapolis	\$5,642	6	\$56,421	7	\$1,410,521	7
Missouri	Kansas City	\$5,245	7	\$52,447	8	\$1,311,166	10
Kansas	Wichita	\$5,207	8	\$52,073	9	\$1,323,085	9

Lowest

The states whose largest cities had the lowest industrial taxes for parcels with 50% personal property valuation were:

Table 10: Urban Cities with Industrial Tax Rankings among the Bottom Ten for All Values

(for parcels with 50% personal property valuation)

	(101 pareer	3 WILL 20 / C	Personarp	roperty var	uution,		
		\$100,000 Business		\$1 million	Business	\$25 million Business	
State	City	Tax	Rank	Tax	Rank	Tax	Rank
Delaware	Wilmington	\$1,030	53	\$10,300	53	\$257,494	53
Hawaii	Honolulu	\$1,082	52	\$10,821	52	\$270,521	52
Virginia	Virginia Beach	\$1,117	51	\$11,173	51	\$279,318	51
Wyoming	Cheyenne	\$1,293	50	\$12,929	50	\$323,232	50
Washington	Seattle	\$1,549	49	\$15,486	49	\$387,140	49
Kentucky	Louisville	\$1,557	48	\$15,568	48	\$389,189	48
New Hampshire	Manchester	\$1,594	47	\$15,942	47	\$398,558	47
South Dakota	Sioux Falls	\$1,724	46	\$17,239	46	\$430,971	46
North Carolina	Charlotte	\$1,833	45	\$18,333	45	\$458,325	45
Nevada	Las Vegas	\$1,838	44	\$18,382	44	\$459,553	44

Sioux Falls, South Dakota dropped into the Bottom Ten in 2005, replacing Lexington-Fayette Kentucky, which was removed from the study.

The urban cities with the lowest taxes for industrial parcels with 60% personal property were:

Table 11: Urban Cities with Industrial Tax Rankings among the Bottom Ten for All Values (for parcels with 60% personal property valuation)

		\$100,000 Business \$1 million Busine			Business	\$25 million Business		
State	City	Tax	Rank	Tax	Rank	Tax	Rank	
Delaware	Wilmington	\$1,030	53	\$10,300	53	\$257,494	53	
Hawaii	Honolulu	\$1,082	52	\$10,821	52	\$270,521	52	
Virginia	Virginia Beach	\$1,274	51	\$12,738	51	\$318,443	51	
Wyoming	Cheyenne	\$1,538	50	\$15,379	50	\$384,469	50	
New Hampshire	Manchester	\$1,594	49	\$15,942	49	\$398,558	49	
South Dakota	Sioux Falls	\$1,724	48	\$17,239	48	\$430,971	48	
Kentucky	Louisville	\$1,820	47	\$18,196	47	\$454,910	47	
Washington	Seattle	\$1,836	46	\$18,362	46	\$459,059	46	
New Jersey	Newark	\$2,171	45	\$21,714	45	\$542,858	45	
Nevada	Las Vegas	\$2,185	44	\$21,847	44	\$546,183	44	

Here Newark, New Jersey replaced Charlotte, North Carolina; showing how important the personal property exemption is. Five of the ten locales in Table 11, including Newark, exempt machinery, equipment, inventories and fixtures.

A Note about the Share of Personal Property Tax to Total Value

For states with personal property exemptions, tax rankings decrease in all categories of industrial property as the assumed percentage of personal property increases, emphasizing the importance of the personal property tax assumption used. In the first four versions of this study, MTA used a 50% real value and 50% personal value assumption to calculate the main comparison tables, but included examples in the text that use higher portions of personal property value.

It is difficult to say which personal property assumptions are best to use. Since Minnesota has not taxed personal property for more than 30 years, the Minnesota Department of Revenue has no

Minnesota-specific data that could be used to determine conclusively the typical personal property percentage for the various sizes of commercial and industrial businesses.

In the 2002 study, MTA concluded that "a more definitive evaluation of these assumptions is warranted", and followed up that recommendation by using real versus personal property information of an actual company with multiple holdings throughout the country. The average percentage of personal property value to total value for the company's industrial property in Minnesota was 53%, with real property comprising the remaining 47%. These percentages were close to our original assumption of 50% for each type of property. The average percentage of personal property for industrial parcels across all states was closer to 59%.

Because this is a national study, MTA felt it appropriate to include two examples of industrial property based on this research. The two chosen were the 50% personal and 50% real example used in all previous studies, and which is more nearly reflective of Minnesota's average, plus an example that assumes 60% of total value is personal property, which is closer to the average in some other states.

Apartment Property Tax Rankings

Iowa's Ranking

Iowa's apartment property tax rankings remained the third highest for rural apartments; urban apartment rankings moved down one place to fourth.

The Highest and Lowest Apartment Tax States - Urban

Highest

The states whose largest cities had high apartment taxes in 2005 were:

Table 12: Urban Cities with Apartment Tax Rankings among the Top Ten

		\$600,000	Apartment
State	City	Tax	Rank
New York	Buffalo	\$26,396	1
New York	New York City	\$26,151	2
Michigan	Detroit	\$25,812	3
Iowa	Des Moines	\$24,741	4
Rhode Island	Providence	\$22,952	5
Tennessee	Memphis	\$18,608	6
Texas	Houston	\$16,861	7
Mississippi	Jackson	\$16,162	8
Maryland	Baltimore City	\$15,932	9
Wisconsin	Milwaukee	\$15,799	10

Buffalo debuted in the top spot for apartment taxes, bumping companion New York City to number two. New to the Top Ten in 2005 was Maryland, which experienced increases in both its property tax rate and sales ratio (showing an improvement in the quality of assessments) and Wisconsin rejoined the list after leaving in 2004. Chicago, Illinois; Bridgeport, Connecticut and Charleston, South Carolina all exited the top 10 list.

Lowest

The states whose largest cities had the lowest apartment taxes were:

Table 13: Urban Cities with Apartment Tax Rankings among the Bottom Ten

		\$600,000	Apartment
State	City	Tax	Rank
Hawaii	Honolulu	\$2,141	53
Colorado	Denver	\$3,605	52
Wyoming	Cheyenne	\$3,976	51
Utah	Salt Lake City	\$5,110	50
Virginia	Virginia Beach	\$5,270	49
District of Columbia	Washington	\$5,334	48
Washington	Seattle	\$6,127	47
Delaware	Wilmington	\$6,180	46
New Mexico	Albuquerque	\$6,535	45
Kentucky	Louisville	\$6,728	44

Albuquerque, New Mexico and Louisville, Kentucky dropped into the Bottom Ten this year; replacing Boston, Massachusetts and Lexington-Fayette, Kentucky, which is no longer part of the study.

Degree of Classification, Apartment vs. Homestead

It is useful to know how the effective tax rates on apartments compare with those on residential property as a way of measuring the degree of subsidy provided to homeowners at the expense of renters. Table 14 on the next page shows the ratio of apartment effective tax rates to those of a home valued at the median selling price in each metropolitan area.

Table 14: Ratio of Apartment Effective Tax Rates (ETRs) to Homestead Rates, Urban Cities (\$600,000 apartment/ Median-valued home)

		Median	•			,	Median		
State	<u>City</u>	Value	<u>Ratio</u>	Rank	State	<u>City</u>	Value	Ratio	Rank
New York	New York City	452,700	6.820	1	Alaska	Anchorage	195,700	1.111	27
Rhode Island	Providence	291,600	2.496	2	Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	115,700	1.085	28
Iowa	Des Moines	145,100	2.381	3	Illinois	Chicago	265,400	1.083	29
Iowa	Des Momes	143,100	2.301	3	District of	Cincago	203,400	1.062	29
Alabama	Birmingham	156,100	2.103	4	Columbia	Washington	429,200	1.075	30
South Carolina	Columbia	133,700	2.032	5	New Mexico	Albuquerque	171,700	1.046	31
West Virginia	Charleston	121,700	1.966	6	Maryland	Baltimore City	264,700	1.032	32
Mississippi	Jackson	131,700	1.938	7	Kansas	Wichita	106,300	1.030	33
Louisiana	New Orleans	152,600	1.857	8	Maine	Portland	247,200	1.021	34
New York	Buffalo	97,500	1.723	9	Wisconsin	Milwaukee	216,800	1.017	35
Illinois	Aurora	265,400	1.703	10	California	Los Angeles	474,800	1.015	36
Indiana	Indianapolis	124,600	1.697	11	Nebraska	Omaha	137,300	1.009	37
Tennessee	Memphis	150,100	1.600	12	Missouri	Kansas City	157,100	1.000	38
Idaho	Boise	161,800	1.453	13	North Carolina	Charlotte	179,600	1.000	39
Massachusetts	Boston	418,500	1.427	14	Montana	Billings	154,500	1.000	40
U.S. Average			1.382		New Hampshire	Manchester	136,500	1.000	41
South Dakota	Sioux Falls	137,700	1.381	15	Oregon	Portland	238,000	1.000	42
Georgia	Atlanta	166,500	1.315	16	Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	211,000	1.000	43
Minnesota	Minneapolis	237,700	1.291	17	Washington	Seattle	310,300	1.000	44
U.S. Average w/o									
New York City			1.277		New Jersey	Newark	414,400	1.000	45
Vermont	Burlington	172,800	1.267	18	Delaware	Wilmington	211,000	1.000	46
Michigan	Detroit	169,200	1.265	19	Nevada	Las Vegas	300,100	0.997	47
Ohio	Columbus	155,900	1.226	20	Colorado	Denver	248,400	0.990	48
Connecticut	Bridgeport	487,300	1.222	21	Hawaii	Honolulu	577,800	0.982	49
Arizona	Phoenix	243,400	1.180	22	Utah	Salt Lake City	169,900	0.974	50
Florida	Jacksonville	166,600	1.176	23	Wyoming	Cheyenne	132,300	0.961	51
Arkansas	Little Rock	118,900	1.172	24	Virginia	Virginia Beach	192,000	0.953	52
Texas	Houston	142,500	1.138	25	Kentucky	Louisville	136,800	0.911	53
North Dakota	Fargo	132,600	1.111	26	Vermont	Burlington	172,800	1.267	18

III. Rankings Tables - Urban

Table 15: Urban Homestead Property Taxes
Payable 2005

\$150,000 VALUED PROPERTY

\$300,000 VALUED PROPERTY

Rank	State	City	Net Tax	ETR	Rank	State	City	Net Tax	ETR
1	Michigan	Detroit		3.234%	1	Michigan	Detroit		3.234%
	New York	Buffalo		2.624%		New York	Buffalo		2.689%
	Wisconsin	Milwaukee		2.446%		Wisconsin	Milwaukee		2.477%
4	Texas	Houston		2.341%	4	Illinois	Aurora		2.431%
5	Illinois	Aurora	3,469	2.312%	5	Texas	Houston	7,217	2.406%
6	Maryland	Baltimore City	3,440	2.294%	6	Maryland	Baltimore City	6,881	2.294%
7	Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	3,350	2.234%	7	Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	6,701	2.234%
8	Nebraska	Omaha	3.094	2.062%	8	New Jersey	Newark		2.171%
	North Dakota	Fargo		1.989%	9	Nebraska	Omaha		2.062%
	New Jersey	Newark		1.971%	-	North Dakota	Fargo		1.989%
10	New Jersey	Newalk	2,937	1.9/1/0	10	North Dakota	raigo	3,900	1.707/0
11	Tennessee	Memphis	2 802	1.868%	1.1	Maine	Portland	5 692	1.894%
12		Portland			12		Memphis		
				1.846%		Tennessee	1		1.868%
	Connecticut	Bridgeport		1.814%	13	Connecticut	Bridgeport		1.814%
	IOWA	Des Moines		1.736%		IOWA	Des Moines	,	1.810%
15	New Hampshire	Manchester	2,391	1.594%	15	Indiana	Indianapolis	5,191	1.730%
16	Vermont	Burlington	2,339	1.560%	16	Florida	Jacksonville	4,909	1.636%
17	Indiana	Indianapolis	2,235	1.490%	17	New Hampshire	Manchester	4,783	1.594%
18	Florida	Jacksonville		1.488%	18	Vermont	Burlington		1.560%
	Ohio	Columbus		1.485%		Illinois	Chicago		1.511%
			,				0		
20	Missouri	Kansas City	2,180	1.454%	20	Ohio	Columbus	4,455	1.485%
21	District	D	2.150	1 42 40/	21	A 11	A 1	4 412	1 4710/
	Rhode Island	Providence		1.434%	21	Alaska	Anchorage		1.471%
	Alaska	Anchorage	,	1.421%		Missouri	Kansas City		1.454%
23	Illinois	Chicago	2,110	1.407%	23	Mississippi	Jackson	4,355	1.452%
	AVERAGE		2,048	1.365%	24	Rhode Island	Providence	4,301	1.434%
24	Mississippi	Jackson		1.352%		AVERAGE		4,286	1.429%
	South Dakota	Sioux Falls		1.252%	25	Idaho	Boise		1.423%
	South Bullota	STOURT I WITS	1,070	1.20270	20	144110	20100	.,_0,	11.12570
26	Oregon	Portland	1.857	1.238%	26	Arkansas	Little Rock	4,006	1.335%
	Arkansas	Little Rock		1.235%			Atlanta		1.318%
	Kansas	Wichita		1.205%	28	-			
						Minnesota	Minneapolis		1.317%
	Minnesota	Minneapolis		1.193%	29	Louisiana	New Orleans		1.311%
30	Nevada	Las Vegas	1,698	1.132%	30	South Dakota	Sioux Falls	3,756	1.252%
2.1	77 . 1	T ' '11	1.605	1 1220/	2.1	0 1 0 1	0.1.1.	2.520	1.0.4007
	Kentucky	Louisville		1.132%	31	South Carolina	Columbia		1.243%
32	Idaho	Boise	1,693	1.129%	32	Oregon	Portland	3,713	1.238%
33	North Carolina	Charlotte	1,691	1.127%	33	Kansas	Wichita	3,662	1.221%
34	Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	1.688	1.125%	34	Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	3,485	1.162%
	Georgia	Atlanta		1.116%	35	Nevada	Las Vegas		1.132%
	00018111	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	1,07.	1111070	55	1101000	240 / 4840	2,270	1110270
36	California	Los Angeles	1.653	1.102%	36	Kentucky	Louisville	3.395	1.132%
	South Carolina	Columbia	,	1.090%		•	Los Angeles		1.129%
	Montana	Billings		1.050%		North Carolina	Charlotte		1.127%
	Arizona	Phoenix		1.041%		Montana	Billings		1.050%
40	Delaware	Wilmington	1,545	1.030%	40	Arizona	Phoenix	3,122	1.041%
		a	4 4 6 0			- ·		•	4 0000/
	Washington	Seattle		0.973%		Delaware	Wilmington		1.030%
42	New Mexico	Albuquerque	1,451	0.967%	42	New Mexico	Albuquerque	2,979	0.993%
43	Louisiana	New Orleans	1,363	0.909%	43	Washington	Seattle	2,920	0.973%
44	Virginia	Virginia Beach	1,265	0.844%	44	Virginia	Virginia Beach	2,531	0.844%
	West Virginia	Charleston		0.822%		West Virginia	Charleston	2,466	0.822%
			-,					-,	
46	Utah	Salt Lake City	1.197	0.798%	46	Utah	Salt Lake City	2.394	0.798%
	District of Columbia			0.669%		District of Columbia			0.790%
	Alabama	Birmingham		0.654%		Alabama	Birmingham		0.672%
	Wyoming	Cheyenne		0.654%		Wyoming	Cheyenne		0.654%
50	Colorado	Denver	769	0.513%	50	New York	New York City	1,782	0.594%
=			22.	0.46463					
	New York	New York City		0.461%		Massachusetts	Boston		0.569%
	Hawaii	Honolulu		0.289%		Colorado	Denver		0.513%
53	Massachusetts	Boston	242	0.161%	53	Hawaii	Honolulu	1,018	0.339%

Table 16: Urban Homestead Property Taxes for a Median-Value Home - Listed by Net Tax Payable 2005

State	City	2005 2nd Quarter Median Sales Price*	Net Tax	Tax Rank	Effective Tax Rate	
New Jersey	Newark	414,400	8,998	1	2.171%	8
Connecticut	Bridgeport	487,300	8,842	2	1.814%	13
Illinois	Aurora	265,400	6,411	3	2.416%	4
Maryland	Baltimore City	264,700	6,071	4	2.294%	6
Michigan	Detroit	169,200	5,471	5	3.234%	1
California	Los Angeles	474,800	5,408	6	1.139%	32
Wisconsin	Milwaukee	216,800	5,344	7	2.465%	3
Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	211,000	4,713	8	2.234%	7
Maine	Portland	247,200	4,626	9	1.872%	11
Rhode Island	Providence	291,600	4,180	10	1.434%	21
Illinois	Chicago	265,400	3,975	11	1.498%	18
District of Columbia	Washington	429,200	3,550	12	0.827%	45
Nevada	Las Vegas	300,100	3,397	13	1.132%	33
Texas	Houston	142,500	3,326	14	2.334%	5
Minnesota	Minneapolis	237,700	3,054	15	1.285%	25
Washington	Seattle	310,300	3,020	16	0.973%	42
Oregon	Portland	238,000	2,946	17	1.238%	27
New York	New York City	452,700	2,893	18	0.639%	51
Massachusetts	Boston	418,500	2,863	19	0.684%	48
Nebraska	Omaha	137,300	2,832	20	2.062%	9
Tennessee	Memphis	150,100	2,804	21	1.868%	12
Alaska	Anchorage	195,700	2,781	22	1.421%	22
Vermont	Burlington	172,800**	2,695	23	1.560%	16
North Dakota	Fargo	132,600	2,637	24	1.989%	10
Arizona	Phoenix	243,400**	2,533	25	1.041%	39
Florida	Jacksonville	166,600	2,528	26	1.517%	17
IOWA	Des Moines	145,100	2,512	27	1.732%	14
New York	Buffalo	97,500	2,490	28	2.554%	2
Ohio	Columbus	155,900	2,315	29	1.485%	19
Missouri	Kansas City	157,100	2,284	30	1.454%	20
New Hampshire	Manchester	136,500**	2,176	31	1.594%	15
Delaware	Wilmington	211,000	2,173	32	1.030%	40
Hawaii	Honolulu	577,800	2,100	33	0.364%	53
North Carolina	Charlotte	179,600	2,025	34	1.127%	35
Georgia	Atlanta	166,500	1,924	35	1.156%	31
Idaho	Boise	161,800	1,896	36	1.172%	30
Mississippi	Jackson	131,700	1,743	37	1.324%	24
Indiana	Indianapolis	124,600	1,735	38	1.392%	23
South Dakota	Sioux Falls	137,700	1,724	39	1.252%	26
New Mexico	Albuquerque	171,700	1,672	40	0.974%	41
Montana	Billings	154,500	1,622	41	1.050%	38
	Virginia Beach					
Virginia Kentucky	Louisville	192,000	1,620	42	0.844%	44
•	New Orleans	136,800	1,548	43	1.132%	34
Louisiana		152,600	1,408	44	0.923%	43
South Carolina	Columbia	133,700	1,407	45	1.052%	37
Arkansas	Little Rock	118,900	1,406	46	1.183%	29
Utah	Salt Lake City	169,900	1,356	47	0.798%	47
Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	115,700	1,277	48	1.104%	36
Colorado	Denver	248,400	1,273	49	0.513%	52
Kansas	Wichita	106,300	1,268	50	1.193%	28
Alabama	Birmingham	156,100	1,024	51	0.656%	49
West Virginia	Charleston	121,700	1,000	52	0.822%	46
Wyoming	Cheyenne	132,300**	866	53	0.654%	50
AVERAGE		217,523	2,901		1.390%	

Median Sales Price Sources: National Association of REALTORS (www.realtor.org), Homegain.com (marked as **) and Billings Association of REALTORS (Billings data only). Calculations by the Minnesota Taxpayers Association.

^{*}Before calculating the tax, the median value was adjusted for differences in assessment practices using the area's reported median sales ratio.

Table 17: Urban Commercial Property Taxes Payable 2005 \$1 MILLION-VALUED PROPERTY \$200,000 Fixtures Paraly Sectors City

\$100,000 VALUED PROPERTY

\$20,000 Fixtures

\$20,000 Fixtures				\$200,000 Fixtures			
Rank State	City	Net Tax	ETR	Rank State	City	Net Tax	ETR
1 New York	New York City	\$4,641	3.868%	1 New York	New York City	\$46,411	3.868%
2 Michigan	Detroit	4,612	3.843%	2 Michigan	Detroit	46,117	3.843%
3 Rhode Island	Providence	4,568	3.807%	3 Rhode Island	Providence	45,679	3.807%
4 New York	Buffalo	4,399	3.666%	4 New York	Buffalo	43,993	3.666%
5 IOWA	Des Moines	4,124	3.436%	5 IOWA	Des Moines		3.436%
						ŕ	
6 Illinois	Chicago	3,911	3.259%	6 Illinois	Chicago	39,112	3.259%
7 Massachusetts	Boston	3,562	2.968%	7 Arizona	Phoenix	38,048	3.171%
8 Maryland	Baltimore City	3,521	2.934%	8 Massachusetts	Boston	35,621	2.968%
9 Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	3,482	2.902%	9 Maryland	Baltimore City	35,208	2.934%
10 Tennessee	Memphis	3,438	2.865%	10 Pennsylvania	Philadelphia		2.902%
11 Missouri	Kansas City	3,399	2.833%	11 Tennessee	Memphis	34,377	2.865%
12 Texas	Houston	3,350	2.791%	12 Missouri	Kansas City	33,993	2.833%
13 Arizona	Phoenix	3,254	2.712%	13 Texas	Houston	33,496	2.791%
14 Kansas	Wichita	3,223	2.686%	14 Minnesota	Minneapolis	32,736	2.728%
15 Mississippi	Jackson	3,079	2.566%	15 Kansas	Wichita	32,230	2.686%
16 South Carolina	Columbia	3,027	2.523%	16 Mississippi	Jackson	30,787	2.566%
17 Wisconsin	Milwaukee	3,009	2.508%	17 South Carolina	Columbia	30,275	2.523%
18 Indiana	Indianapolis	2,959	2.466%	18 Wisconsin	Milwaukee	30,094	2.508%
19 Louisiana	New Orleans	2,939	2.428%	19 Indiana	Indianapolis		2.466%
		,					
20 Connecticut	Bridgeport	2,782	2.318%	20 Louisiana	New Orleans	29,135	2.428%
21 Minnesota	MINNEAPOLIS	2,578	2.149%	21 Connecticut	Bridgeport	27,821	2.318%
22 Illinois	Aurora	2,550	2.125%	22 Illinois	Aurora	25,501	2.125%
23 Nebraska	Omaha	2,510	2.092%	23 Nebraska	Omaha	25,105	2.092%
24 Maine	Portland	2,441	2.034%	AVERAGE		,	2.056%
AVERAGE	101111111111111111111111111111111111111	2,428	2.023%	24 Maine	Portland	24,408	2.034%
25 North Dakota	Fargo	2,210	1.841%	25 District of Columbia			1.853%
20 Troitin Bullotti	1 11150	2,210	110 1170	20 Bishiev of columnia	,, asimigusi	22,201	1.000,0
26 New Jersey	Newark	2,171	1.810%	26 North Dakota	Fargo	22,096	1.841%
27 Colorado	Denver	2,166	1.805%	27 New Jersey	Newark	21,714	1.810%
28 Florida	Jacksonville	2,142	1.785%	28 Colorado	Denver	21,665	1.805%
29 Vermont	Burlington	2,127	1.773%	29 Ohio	Columbus	21,495	1.791%
30 Idaho	Boise	2,055	1.712%	30 Florida	Jacksonville	21,417	1.785%
21 77 . 77' ' '	Cl. 1	1.004	1.6520/	21.77	D 1' '	21 272	1.7720/
31 West Virginia	Charleston	1,984	1.653%	31 Vermont	Burlington	21,273	1.773%
32 Georgia	Atlanta	1,855	1.546%	32 Idaho	Boise	20,549	1.712%
33 Ohio	Columbus	1,820	1.517%	33 West Virginia	Charleston	19,842	1.653%
34 Utah	Salt Lake City	1,791	1.492%	34 Georgia	Atlanta	18,555	1.546%
35 Alaska	Anchorage	1,778	1.482%	35 Utah	Salt Lake City	17,909	1.492%
36 South Dakota	Sioux Falls	1,724	1.437%	36 Alaska	Anchorage	17,779	1.482%
37 District of Columbia		1,713	1.428%	37 South Dakota	Sioux Falls	17,239	1.437%
38 Montana	Billings	1,680	1.400%	38 Montana	Billings	16,803	1.400%
39 Alabama	Birmingham	1,657	1.381%	39 Alabama	Birmingham	16,569	1.381%
40 Arkansas	Little Rock	1,656	1.380%	40 Arkansas	Little Rock	16,561	1.380%
40 Aikalisas	Little Rock	1,030	1.300/0	40 Aikansas	Little Rock	10,501	1.360/0
41 Oregon	Portland	1,618	1.348%	41 Oregon	Portland	16,176	1.348%
42 New Hampshire	Manchester		1.329%	42 New Hampshire	Manchester		1.329%
43 Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	1,503	1.252%	43 Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	15,028	1.252%
44 New Mexico	Albuquerque	1,416	1.180%	44 New Mexico	Albuquerque	14,164	1.180%
45 Kentucky	Louisville	1,393	1.160%	45 Kentucky	Louisville	13,925	1.160%
		-,0,0				-5,520	
46 California	Los Angeles	1,387	1.156%	46 California	Los Angeles	13,872	1.156%
47 Nevada	Las Vegas	1,376	1.147%	47 Nevada	Las Vegas	13,762	1.147%
48 North Carolina	Charlotte	1,363	1.136%	48 North Carolina	Charlotte	13,626	1.136%
49 Washington	Seattle	1,165	0.971%	49 Washington	Seattle	11,650	0.971%
50 Virginia	Virginia Beach	1,100	0.917%	50 Virginia	Virginia Beach	11,003	0.917%
			0.0=65:	** ** **	** 1 1		0 0 =
51 Hawaii	Honolulu Wilmin store	1,054	0.878%	51 Hawaii	Honolulu Wilmin atom	10,539	0.878%
51 Hawaii 52 Delaware 53 Wyoming	Honolulu Wilmington Cheyenne	1,054 1,030 798	0.878% 0.858% 0.665%	51 Hawaii 52 Delaware 53 Wyoming	Honolulu Wilmington Cheyenne	10,539 10,300 7,983	0.878% 0.858% 0.665%

Table 17 (cont'd.): Urban Commercial Property Taxes
Payable 2005
\$25 MILLION-VALUED PROPERTY
\$5,000,000 Fixtures
Pank State

Rank State	City	Net Tax	ETR
1 New York	New York City	\$1,160,278	3.868%
2 Michigan	Detroit	1,152,916	3.843%
3 Rhode Island	Providence	1,141,975	3.807%
4 New York	Buffalo	1,099,823	3.666%
5 IOWA	Des Moines	1,030,896	3.436%
6 Arizona	Phoenix	1,002,974	3.343%
7 Illinois	Chicago	977,803	3.259%
8 Massachusetts	Boston	890,530	2.968%
9 Maryland	Baltimore City	880,200	2.934%
10 Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	870,540	2.902%
11 T	Manushia	950 419	2.9650/
11 Tennessee 12 Missouri	Memphis	859,418	2.865%
12 Minnesota	Kansas City MINNEAPOLIS	849,814	2.833%
14 Texas	Houston	847,834 837,411	2.826% 2.791%
15 Kansas	Wichita	805,756	2.686%
15 Kalisas	Wichita	803,730	2.080%
16 Mississippi	Jackson	769,681	2.566%
17 South Carolina	Columbia	756,866	2.523%
18 Wisconsin	Milwaukee	752,346	2.508%
19 Indiana	Indianapolis	739,771	2.466%
20 Louisiana	New Orleans	728,366	2.428%
21 Connecticut	Bridgeport	695,520	2.318%
22 Illinois	Aurora	637,525	2.125%
23 Nebraska	Omaha	627,619	2.092%
AVERAGE		619,400	2.065%
24 Maine	Portland	610,190	2.034%
25 District of Columbia	Washington	596,575	1.989%
26 Ohio	Columbus	557,114	1.857%
27 North Dakota	Fargo	552,403	1.841%
28 New Jersey	Newark	542,858	1.810%
29 Colorado	Denver	541,621	1.805%
30 Florida	Jacksonville	535,420	1.785%
31 Vermont	Burlington	531,825	1.773%
32 Idaho	Boise	513,719	1.712%
33 West Virginia	Charleston	496,041	1.653%
34 Georgia	Atlanta	463,873	1.546%
35 Utah	Salt Lake City	447,720	1.492%
36 Alaska	Anchorage	444,468	1.482%
37 South Dakota	Sioux Falls	430,971	1.438%
38 Montana	Billings	420,082	1.400%
39 Alabama	Birmingham	414,220	1.381%
40 Arkansas	Little Rock	414,017	1.380%
41 Oregon	Portland	404,389	1.348%
42 New Hampshire	Manchester	398,558	1.329%
43 Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	375,705	1.252%
44 New Mexico	Albuquerque	354,108	1.180%
45 Kentucky	Louisville	348,132	1.160%
46 California	Los Angeles	346,790	1.156%
47 Nevada	Las Vegas	344,046	1.147%
48 North Carolina	Charlotte	340,655	1.136%
49 Washington	Seattle	291,249	0.971%
50 Virginia	Virginia Beach	275,068	0.917%
£1 II	Hamala 1	262 471	0.0700/
51 Hawaii	Honolulu Wilmington	263,471	0.878%
52 Delaware	Wilmington	257,494	0.858%
53 Wyoming	Cheyenne	199,568	0.665%

Table 18: Urban Industrial Property Taxes (50% Personal Property) Payable 2005

\$100,000 VALUED PROPERTY \$50,000 Machinery and Equipment \$40,000 Inventories \$10,000 Fixtures \$1 MILLION-VALUED PROPERTY \$500,000 Machinery and Equipment \$400,000 Inventories \$100,000 Fixtures

Rank State	City	Net Tax	ETR		State		Net Tax	ETR
Rank State	City	TICL TUX	LIK	Kunn	State		TICL TUX	LIK
1 Michigan	Detroit	\$6,549	3.274%	1	Michigan	Detroit	\$65,490	3.274%
2 South Carolina	Columbia	6,174	3.087%		South Carolina	Columbia	61,740	3.087%
3 Texas	Houston	6,132	3.066%		Texas	Houston	61,323	3.066%
4 Mississippi	Jackson	5,132	2.566%		Arizona	Phoenix	53,378	2.669%
5 Louisiana	New Orleans	4,969	2.484%		Mississippi	Jackson	51,324	2.566%
					• •			
6 New York	New York City	4,641	2.321%		Louisiana	New Orleans	49,689	2.484%
7 Indiana	Indianapolis	4,549	2.275%		New York	New York City	46,411	2.321%
8 Missouri	Kansas City	4,454	2.227%	8	Indiana	Indianapolis	45,490	2.275%
9 New York	Buffalo	4,399	2.200%	9	Missouri	Kansas City	44,538	2.227%
10 Kansas	Wichita	4,357	2.178%	10	New York	Buffalo	43,993	2.200%
11 Tennessee	Memphis	4,334	2.167%	11	Kansas	Wichita	43,569	2.178%
12 IOWA	Des Moines		2.062%		Tennessee	Memphis	43,345	2.167%
13 Rhode Island	Providence	4,073	2.036%		IOWA	Des Moines	41,236	2.062%
14 Illinois	Chicago	3,911	1.956%		Rhode Island	Providence	40,729	2.036%
	Bridgeport				Ohio			
15 Connecticut	Briageport	3,911	1.956%	13	Onio	Columbus	40,509	2.025%
16 Maine	Portland	3,502	1.751%	16	Illinois	Chicago	39,112	1.956%
17 Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	3,482	1.741%	17	Connecticut	Bridgeport	39,110	1.956%
18 Arizona	Phoenix	3,396	1.698%	18	District of Columbia	Washington	35,831	1.792%
19 Nebraska	Omaha	3,370	1.685%	19	Maine	Portland	35,020	1.751%
20 West Virginia	Charleston	3,345	1.672%	20	Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	34,822	1.741%
01.36	D	2.260	1 (2.40/	0.1	N. 1	0 1	22.606	1.6050
21 Massachusetts	Boston	3,268	1.634%		Nebraska	Omaha	33,696	1.685%
22 Ohio	Columbus	3,228	1.614%		West Virginia	Charleston	33,447	1.672%
AVERAGE			1.539%		Minnesota	Minneapolis	32,736	1.637%
23 Georgia	Atlanta	3,040	1.520%	24	Massachusetts	Boston	32,680	1.634%
24 Colorado	Denver	2,951	1.475%		AVERAGE		31,719	1.586%
25 Maryland	Baltimore City	2,944	1.472%	25	Georgia	Atlanta	30,398	1.520%
26 Florida	Jacksonville	2,855	1.427%	26	Colorado	Denver	29,509	1.475%
27 Arkansas	Little Rock	2,767	1.383%		Maryland	Baltimore City	29,438	1.472%
28 Idaho	Boise	2,761	1.380%		Florida	Jacksonville	28,550	1.427%
29 Wisconsin	Milwaukee	2,759	1.379%		Arkansas	Little Rock	27,669	1.383%
30 Vermont	Burlington	2,737	1.368%		Idaho	Boise	27,607	1.380%
	8	,					.,	
31 Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	2,723	1.361%	31	Wisconsin	Milwaukee	27,586	1.379%
32 Montana	Billings	2,722	1.361%	32	Vermont	Burlington	27,369	1.368%
33 Minnesota	Minneapolis	2,578	1.289%	33	Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	27,225	1.361%
34 Alaska	Anchorage	2,573	1.286%	34	Montana	Billings	27,216	1.361%
35 Illinois	Aurora	2,550	1.275%	35	Alaska	Anchorage	25,727	1.286%
26 14-1	G-14 I -1 C'4	2 200	1 1040/	2.0	T111	A	25 501	1 2750
36 Utah	Salt Lake City		1.194%		Illinois	Aurora		1.275%
37 Oregon	Portland	2,377	1.189%		Utah	Salt Lake City	23,878	1.194%
38 Alabama	Birmingham	2,213	1.106%		Oregon	Portland	23,770	1.189%
39 North Dakota	Fargo	2,210	1.105%		Alabama	Birmingham	22,129	1.106%
40 New Jersey	Newark	2,171	1.086%	40	North Dakota	Fargo	22,096	1.105%
41 District of Columbia	Washington	2,053	1.027%	∆ 1	New Jersey	Newark	21,714	1.086%
42 New Mexico	Albuquerque	1,983	0.992%		New Mexico	Albuquerque	19,830	0.992%
43 California	Los Angeles	1,850	0.925%		California	Los Angeles	18,495	0.925%
44 Nevada	Las Vegas	1,838	0.92376		Nevada	Las Vegas	18,382	0.9237
	•				North Carolina	_		
45 North Carolina	Charlotte	1,833	0.917%	43	INOITHI Cafolina	Charlotte	18,333	0.917%
46 South Dakota	Sioux Falls	1,724	0.862%	46	South Dakota	Sioux Falls	17,239	0.862%
47 New Hampshire	Manchester	1,594	0.797%	47	New Hampshire	Manchester	15,942	0.797%
48 Kentucky	Louisville	1,557	0.778%		Kentucky	Louisville	15,568	0.7789
49 Washington	Seattle	1,549	0.774%		Washington	Seattle	15,486	0.7749
50 Wyoming	Cheyenne	1,293	0.646%		Wyoming	Cheyenne	12,929	0.646%
51 Virginia 52 Hawaii	Virginia Beach Honolulu	1,117 1,082	0.559% 0.541%		Virginia Hawaii	Virginia Beach Honolulu	11,173 10,821	0.559% 0.541%
53 Delaware	Wilmington	1,030	0.515%	53	Delaware	Wilmington	10,300	0.515%

Table 18 (cont'd): Urban Industrial Property Taxes (50% Personal Property)Payable 2005

\$25 MILLION-VALUED PROPERTY \$12,500,000 Machinery and Equipment \$10,000,000 Inventories \$2,500,000 Fixtures

Rank State	City	Net Tax	ETR
1 Mishigan	Dotroit	¢1 627 220	2 2740/
1 Michigan	Detroit	\$1,637,238	3.274%
2 South Carolina	Columbia	1,543,500	3.087%
3 Texas	Houston	1,533,075	3.066%
4 Arizona	Phoenix	1,386,247	2.772%
5 Mississippi	Jackson	1,283,101	2.566%
6 Louisiana	New Orleans	1,242,236	2.484%
7 New York	New York City	1,160,278	2.321%
8 Indiana	Indianapolis	1,137,253	2.275%
9 Missouri	Kansas City	1,113,444	2.227%
10 New York	Buffalo	1,099,823	2.200%
11 Kansas	Wichita	1,089,224	2.178%
12 Tennessee	Memphis	1,083,614	2.167%
13 Ohio	Columbus	1,034,678	2.069%
14 IOWA	Des Moines	1,030,896	2.062%
15 Rhode Island	Providence	1,018,225	2.036%
13 Idiode Island	Trovidence	1,010,223	2.03070
16 Illinois	Chicago	977,803	1.956%
17 Connecticut	Bridgeport	977,760	1.956%
18 District of Columbia	Washington	936,575	1.873%
19 Maine	Portland	875,490	1.751%
20 Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	870,540	1.741%
21 Minnesota	Minneapolis	847,834	1.696%
22 Nebraska	Omaha	842,410	1.685%
23 West Virginia	Charleston	836,183	1.672%
24 Massachusetts	Boston	817,000	1.634%
AVERAGE		795,687	1.591%
25 Georgia	Atlanta	759,949	1.520%
26 Calarada	Danzian	727 725	1 4750/
26 Colorado	Denver	737,725	1.475%
27 Maryland 28 Florida	Baltimore City	735,950	1.472%
	Jacksonville	713,745	1.427%
29 Arkansas	Little Rock	691,725	1.383%
30 Idaho	Boise	690,179	1.380%
31 Wisconsin	Milwaukee	689,651	1.379%
32 Vermont	Burlington	684,213	1.368%
33 Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	680,625	1.361%
34 Montana	Billings	680,396	1.361%
35 Alaska	Anchorage	643,182	1.286%
36 Illinois	Aurora	637,525	1.275%
37 Utah	Salt Lake City	596,960	1.194%
38 Oregon	Portland	594,255	1.189%
39 Alabama	Birmingham	553,220	1.106%
40 North Dakota	Fargo	552,403	1.105%
41.37	-	a = =	1 00
41 New Jersey	Newark	542,858	1.086%
42 New Mexico	Albuquerque	495,752	0.992%
43 California	Los Angeles	462,387	0.925%
44 Nevada	Las Vegas	459,553	0.919%
45 North Carolina	Charlotte	458,325	0.917%
46 South Dakota	Sioux Falls	430,971	0.862%
47 New Hampshire	Manchester	398,558	0.797%
48 Kentucky	Louisville	389,189	0.778%
49 Washington	Seattle	387,140	0.774%
50 Wyoming	Cheyenne	323,232	0.646%
51 Vincinia	Vincinia Decel	270 210	0.55007
51 Virginia	Virginia Beach	279,318	0.559%
52 Hawaii	Honolulu Wilmington	270,521 257,494	0.541%
53 Delaware	Wilmington	237,494	0.515%

Table 19: Urban Industrial Property Taxes (60% Personal Property) Payable 2005

\$100,000 VALUED PROPERTY \$75,000 Machinery and Equipment \$60,000 Inventories \$15,000 Fixtures \$1 MILLION-VALUED PROPERTY \$750,000 Machinery and Equipment \$600,000 Inventories \$150,000 Fixtures

1 Michigan 2 Texas 3 South Carolina 4 Mississippi 5 Louisiana 6 Indiana	Detroit Houston Columbia Jackson	\$7,821 7,665 7,332	3.129% 3.066%		Michigan Texas	Detroit Houston	\$78,213 76,654	3.129% 3.066%
2 Texas 3 South Carolina 4 Mississippi 5 Louisiana 6 Indiana	Houston Columbia	7,665	3.066%		•			
3 South Carolina4 Mississisppi5 Louisiana6 Indiana	Columbia	,		2	Tevas	Houston	76 654	2 0660
4 Mississippi5 Louisiana6 Indiana		7 2 2 2						
5 Louisiana6 Indiana	Iackson		2.933%		South Carolina	Columbia	73,316	2.933%
6 Indiana		6,416			Arizona	Phoenix	64,877	2.595%
	New Orleans	6,254	2.501%	5	Mississippi	Jackson	64,160	2.566%
	Indianapolis	5,642	2.257%	6	Louisiana	New Orleans	62,536	2.501%
7 Missouri	Kansas City	5,245	2.098%	7	Indiana	Indianapolis	56,421	2.257%
8 Kansas	Wichita	5,207	2.083%	8	Missouri	Kansas City	52,447	2.098%
9 Tennessee	Memphis	5,007	2.003%	9	Kansas	Wichita	52,073	2.083%
10 Connecticut	Bridgeport	4,758	1.903%	10	Ohio	Columbus	51,572	2.063%
11 New York	New York City	4,641	1.856%	11	Tennessee	Memphis	50,070	2.003%
12 Arizona	Phoenix	4,546	1.818%	12	Connecticut	Bridgeport	47,578	1.903%
13 New York	Buffalo	4,399	1.760%	13	New York	New York City	46,411	1.856%
14 Ohio	Columbus	4,334	1.734%	14	District of Columbia	Washington	46,031	1.8419
15 Rhode Island	Providence	4,320	1.728%	15	New York	Buffalo	43,993	1.760%
16 Maine	Portland	4,298	1.719%	16	Rhode Island	Providence	43,204	1.728%
17 West Virginia	Charleston	4,195	1.678%		Maine	Portland	42,979	1.719%
18 IOWA	Des Moines		1.649%		West Virginia	Charleston	41,951	1.6789
19 Nebraska	Omaha	4,014	1.606%		IOWA	Des Moines	41,236	1.649%
20 Illinois	Chicago	3,911	1.564%		Nebraska	Omaha	40,140	1.6069
21 Georgia	Atlanta	3,800	1.520%	21	Illinois	Chicago	39,112	1.5649
AVERAGE	7 Kilairta		1.429%		Georgia	Atlanta	37,999	1.520
22 Colorado	Denver	,	1.429 /6	22	AVERAGE	Atlalita	36,677	1.4679
		3,511		22		D		1.404
23 Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	3,485	1.394%		Colorado	Denver	35,112	
24 Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	3,482	1.393%		Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	34,848	1.394
25 Arkansas	Little Rock	3,457	1.383%	25	Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	34,822	1.3939
26 Massachusetts	Boston	3,431	1.373%		Arkansas	Little Rock	34,569	1.3839
27 Florida	Jacksonville	3,390	1.356%		Massachusetts	Boston	34,314	1.3739
28 Idaho	Boise	3,290	1.316%		Florida	Jacksonville	33,900	1.3569
29 Montana	Billings	3,251	1.301%		Idaho	Boise	32,901	1.3169
30 Maryland	Baltimore City	3,232	1.293%	30	Minnesota	Minneapolis	32,736	1.3099
31 Vermont	Burlington	3,117	1.247%	31	Montana	Billings	32,513	1.3019
32 District of Columbia	Washington	3,073	1.229%	32	Maryland	Baltimore City	32,323	1.2939
33 Alaska	Anchorage	3,070	1.228%	33	Vermont	Burlington	31,172	1.2479
34 Oregon	Portland	2,947	1.179%	34	Alaska	Anchorage	30,695	1.2289
35 Wisconsin	Milwaukee	2,884	1.154%	35	Oregon	Portland	29,466	1.1799
36 Utah	Salt Lake City	2.836	1.134%	36	Wisconsin	Milwaukee	28,840	1.154
37 Alabama	Birmingham	2,630	1.052%		Utah	Salt Lake City	28,356	1.134
38 Minnesota	Minneapolis	2,578	1.031%		Alabama	Birmingham	26,299	1.052
39 Illinois	Aurora	2,550	1.020%		Illinois	Aurora	25,501	1.020
40 New Mexico	Albuquerque	2,408	0.963%		New Mexico	Albuquerque	24,079	0.963
41 North Dakota	Fargo	2,210	0.884%	<i>A</i> 1	North Dakota	Fargo	22,096	0.884
42 California	Los Angeles		0.884%		California	Los Angeles		0.879
	_	2,196				_	21,963	
43 North Carolina	Charlotte	2,186	0.875%		North Carolina	Charlotte	21,863	0.875
44 Nevada	Las Vegas	2,185	0.874%		Nevada	Las Vegas	21,847	0.874
45 New Jersey	Newark	2,171	0.869%	45	New Jersey	Newark	21,714	0.869
46 Washington	Seattle	1,836	0.734%		Washington	Seattle	18,362	0.734
47 Kentucky	Louisville	1,820	0.728%		Kentucky	Louisville	18,196	0.728
48 South Dakota	Sioux Falls	1,724	0.690%		South Dakota	Sioux Falls	17,239	0.690
49 New Hampshire	Manchester	1,594	0.638%	49	New Hampshire	Manchester	15,942	0.638
50 Wyoming	Cheyenne	1,538	0.615%	50	Wyoming	Cheyenne	15,379	0.615
30 Wyoming								
, ,	Virginia Beach	1.274	0.510%	51	Virginia	Virginia Beach	12,738	0.510
51 Virginia 52 Hawaii	Virginia Beach Honolulu	1,274 1,082	0.510% 0.433%		Virginia Hawaii	Virginia Beach Honolulu	12,738 10,821	0.510 0.433

Table 19 (cont'd): Urban Industrial Property Taxes (60% Personal Property)Payable 2005

\$25 MILLION-VALUED PROPERTY \$18,750,000 Machinery and Equipment \$15,000,000 Inventories

\$3,750,000 Fixtures

Dank State	City.	Not Ton	ETD
Rank State	City	Net Tax	ETR
1 Michigan	Detroit	\$1,955,313	3.129%
2 Texas	Houston	1,916,343	3.066%
3 South Carolina	Columbia	1,832,906	2.933%
4 Arizona	Phoenix	1,673,701	2.678%
5 Mississippi	Jackson	1,603,988	2.566%
6 Louisiana	New Orleans	1,563,405	2.501%
7 Indiana	Indianapolis	1,410,521	2.257%
8 Kansas	Wichita	1,323,085	2.117%
9 Ohio	Columbus	1,311,253	2.098%
10 Missouri	Kansas City	1,311,166	2.098%
	·		
11 Tennessee	Memphis	1,251,761	2.003%
12 District of Columbia	Washington	1,191,575	1.907%
	_		
13 Connecticut	Bridgeport	1,189,440	1.903%
14 New York	New York City	1,160,278	1.856%
15 New York	Buffalo	1,099,823	1.760%
		, ,	
16 Rhode Island	Providence	1,097,506	1.756%
17 Maine	Portland	1,074,465	1.719%
18 West Virginia	Charleston	1,048,772	1.678%
19 IOWA	Des Moines	1,030,896	1.649%
20 Nebraska	Omaha	1,003,504	1.606%
20 Neoraska	Omana	1,005,504	1.000/0
	cu :	.==	
21 Illinois	Chicago	977,803	1.564%
22 Georgia	Atlanta	949,976	1.520%
AVERAGE		920,370	1.473%
	D		
23 Colorado	Denver	877,799	1.404%
24 Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	871,200	1.394%
25 Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	870,540	1.393%
26 Arkansas	Little Rock	864,225	1.383%
27 Massachusetts	Boston	857,850	1.373%
28 Minnesota	Minneapolis	847,834	1.357%
29 Florida	Jacksonville	847,489	1.356%
30 Idaho	Boise	822,524	1.316%
31 Montana	Billings	812,829	1.301%
32 Maryland	Baltimore City		1.293%
•		808,075	
33 Vermont	Burlington	779,307	1.247%
34 Alaska	Anchorage	767,378	1.228%
35 Oregon	Portland	736,654	1.179%
Č		,	
36 Wisconsin	Milwaukee	720,998	1.154%
37 Utah	Salt Lake City	708,890	
38 Alabama	Birmingham	657,470	1.052%
39 Illinois	Aurora	637,525	1.020%
40 New Mexico	Albuquerque	601,984	0.963%
		,	
41 North Dakota	Forgo	552 402	0.8840/
	Fargo	552,403	0.884%
42 California	Los Angeles	549,084	0.879%
43 North Carolina	Charlotte	546,577	0.875%
44 Nevada	Las Vegas	546,183	0.874%
	-		
45 New Jersey	Newark	542,858	0.869%
46 777 11	G1	450 05-	0.50.107
46 Washington	Seattle	459,059	0.734%
47 Kentucky	Louisville	454,910	0.728%
48 South Dakota	Sioux Falls	430,971	0.690%
49 New Hampshire	Manchester	398,558	0.638%
50 Wyoming	Cheyenne	384,469	0.615%
51 Virginia	Virginia Beach	318,443	0.510%
52 Hawaii	Honolulu	270,521	0.433%
53 Delaware	Wilmington	257,494	0.412%

Table 20: Urban Apartment Property Taxes
Payable 2005
\$600,000VALUED PROPERTY
\$30,000 Fixtures

\$30,000 Fixtures	Gt.	N.	DOTE
Rank State	City	Net Tax	ETR
1 37 - 37 - 1	D CC 1	00000	4.10001
1 New York	Buffalo	\$26,396	4.190%
2 New York	New York City	26,151	4.151%
3 Michigan	Detroit	25,812	4.097%
4 IOWA	Des Moines	24,741	3.927%
5 Rhode Island	Providence	22,952	3.643%
6 Tennessee	Memphis	18,608	2.954%
7 Texas	Houston	16,861	2.934%
8 Mississippi	Jackson	16,162	2.565%
9 Maryland	Baltimore City	15,932	2.529%
10 Wisconsin	Milwaukee	15,799	2.508%
10 WISCONSIII	14111 waukce	13,177	2.500/0
11 Illinois	Chicago	15,684	2.489%
12 Illinois	Aurora	15,301	2.429%
13 Indiana	Indianapolis	14,177	2.250%
14 Connecticut	Bridgeport	14,152	2.246%
15 South Carolina	Columbia	13,991	2.221%
		,-,1	1 / 3
16 Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	13,401	2.127%
17 North Dakota	Fargo	13,258	2.104%
18 Nebraska	Omaha	13,130	2.084%
19 New Jersey	Newark	13,029	2.068%
20 Maine	Portland	12,257	1.946%
21 Vermont	Burlington	11,857	1.882%
AVERAGE		11,470	1.821%
22 Florida	Jacksonville	11,245	1.785%
23 Louisiana	New Orleans	11,048	1.754%
24 Ohio	Columbus	10,922	1.734%
25 Idaho	Boise	10,741	1.705%
060 151	g: 5 "	* 0 5 :-	
26 South Dakota	Sioux Falls	10,343	1.642%
27 West Virginia	Charleston	10,204	1.620%
28 Minnesota	Minneapolis	9,950	1.579%
29 Alaska	Anchorage	9,773	1.551%
30 Georgia	Atlanta	9,621	1.527%
21 Now Homeshine	Manchester	0.565	1 5100/
31 New Hampshire 32 Missouri		9,565	1.518% 1.510%
32 Missouri 33 Arkansas	Kansas City Little Rock	9,513 8,735	1.310%
34 Alabama	Birmingham	8,690	1.38/%
35 Kansas	Wichita	8,221	1.305%
33 Kansas	vv iciiita	0,221	1.505/0
36 Oregon	Portland	7,997	1.269%
37 Arizona	Phoenix	7,828	1.243%
38 Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	7,645	1.213%
39 California	Los Angeles	7,043	1.156%
40 Nevada	Las Vegas	7,119	1.130%
		.,,	
41 North Carolina	Charlotte	7,117	1.130%
42 Massachusetts	Boston	6,839	1.086%
43 Montana	Billings	6,830	1.084%
44 Kentucky	Louisville	6,728	1.068%
45 New Mexico	Albuquerque	6,535	1.037%
		-	
46 Delaware	Wilmington	6,180	0.981%
47 Washington	Seattle	6,127	0.973%
48 District of Columbia	Washington	5,334	0.847%
49 Virginia	Virginia Beach	5,270	0.836%
50 Utah	Salt Lake City	5,110	0.811%
51 Wyoming	Cheyenne	3,976	0.631%
52 Colorado	Denver	3,605	0.572%
53 Hawaii	Honolulu	2,141	0.340%

IV. Rankings Tables – Largest 50 U.S. Cities

Table 21: Top 50 Homestead Property Taxes
Payable 2005

\$150,000 PROPERTY

\$300,000 VALUED PROPERTY

Rank	State	City	Net Tax	ETR	Rank	State	City	Net Tax	ETR
1	Michigan	Detroit	\$4,850	3.234%	1	Michigan	Detroit	\$9,701	3.234%
2		El Paso	4,381	2.921%	2		El Paso	9,035	3.012%
3	Texas	Fort Worth	4,240	2.826%	3	Texas	Fort Worth	8,727	2.909%
4	Texas	San Antonio	4,218	2.812%	4	Texas	San Antonio	8,695	2.898%
5	Texas	Arlington	4,172	2.781%	5	Texas	Arlington	8,605	2.868%
6	Wisconsin	Milwaukee	3,669	2.446%	6	Texas	Austin	7,530	2.510%
7	Texas	Austin	3,641	2.427%	7	Wisconsin	Milwaukee	7,431	2.477%
8	Texas	Dallas	3,554	2.369%	8	Texas	Dallas	7,336	2.445%
9	Texas	Houston	3,512	2.341%	9	Texas	Houston	7,217	2.406%
10		Baltimore	3,440	2.294%	10		Baltimore	6,881	2.294%
11	Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	3,350	2.234%	11	Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	6,701	2.234%
12	•	Omaha	3,094	2.062%	12	•	Miami	6,600	2.200%
13		Miami	2,994	1.996%	13		Omaha	6,187	2.062%
14		Memphis	2,802	1.868%	14		Memphis	5,605	1.868%
15		Cleveland	2,628	1.752%		Ohio	Cleveland	5,256	1.752%
16	Indiana	Indianapolis	2,235	1.490%	16	Indiana	Indianapolis	5,191	1.730%
17	Florida	Jacksonville	2,232	1.488%	17		Jacksonville	4,909	1.636%
18	Ohio	Columbus	2,227	1.485%		Illinois	Chicago	4,534	1.511%
19		Kansas City	2,180	1.454%	10	AVERAGE	Cincago		1.503%
17	AVERAGE	Ransas City		1.442%	19	Ohio	Columbus	4,455	1.485%
20		Chicago	2,110	1.407%	20		Kansas City	4,361	1.454%
20	minois	Cincago	2,110		20	1411330411	ixansas City	4,501	
21	California	Oakland	1,892	1.261%	21	Georgia	Atlanta	3,953	1.318%
22	Oregon	Portland	1,857	1.238%	22	Minnesota	Minneapolis	3,952	1.317%
23	Oklahoma	Tulsa	1,825	1.216%	23	Louisiana	New Orleans	3,933	1.311%
24	Arizona	Tucson	1,810	1.207%	24	California	Oakland	3,876	1.292%
25	Minnesota	Minneapolis	1,790	1.193%	25	Oklahoma	Tulsa	3,767	1.256%
26		Nashville	1,759	1.173%	26	U	Portland	3,713	1.238%
27	Nevada	Las Vegas	1,698	1.132%	27	Arizona	Tucson	3,620	1.207%
28	•	Louisville	1,697	1.132%	28	Tennessee	Nashville	3,518	1.173%
29	North Carolina	Charlotte	1,691	1.127%	29	Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	3,485	1.162%
30	Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	1,688	1.125%	30	California	Fresno	3,451	1.150%
31	California	Fresno	1,684	1.123%	31	California	San Jose	3,402	1.134%
32	_	Atlanta	1,674	1.116%	32	Nevada	Las Vegas	3,396	1.132%
33	California	San Jose	1,660	1.107%	33	Kentucky	Louisville	3,395	1.132%
34	California	Los Angeles	1,653	1.102%	34	California	Los Angeles	3,387	1.129%
35	California	San Francisco	1,630	1.087%	35	North Carolina	Charlotte	3,382	1.127%
36	California	Sacramento	1,604	1.069%	36	California	San Francisco	3,340	1.113%
37	California	San Diego	1,591	1.061%	37	California	Sacramento	3,287	1.096%
38	Arizona	Phoenix	1,561	1.041%	38	California	San Diego	3,260	1.087%
39	California	Long Beach	1,523	1.016%		Arizona	Phoenix	3,122	1.041%
40	Washington	Seattle	1,460	0.973%	40	California	Long Beach	3,122	1.041%
41	New Mexico	Albuquerque	1,451	0.967%	41	New Mexico	Albuquerque	2,979	0.993%
42	Louisiana	New Orleans	1,363	0.909%	42	Washington	Seattle	2,920	0.973%
43	Virginia	Virginia Beach	1,265	0.844%	43	-	Virginia Beach	2,531	0.844%
44	District of Columbia	Washington	1,003	0.669%	44	District of Columbia	Washington	2,371	0.790%
45		Mesa	935	0.623%	45		Mesa	1,869	0.623%
46	Colorado	Denver	769	0.513%	46	New York	New York City	1,782	0.594%
47		Colorado Springs	693	0.462%	47	Massachusetts	Boston	1,706	0.569%
48	New York	New York City	691	0.461%	48	Colorado	Denver	1,538	0.513%
49	Hawaii	Honolulu	434	0.289%	49	Colorado	Colorado Springs	1,385	0.462%
50	Massachusetts	Boston	242	0.161%	50	Hawaii	Honolulu	1,018	0.339%

Table 22: Top 50 Homestead Property Taxes for a Median-Value Home – Listed by Net Tax Payable 2005

2. 10p 30 Homest		2005 2nd Quarter	Net	Tax	Effective	Rate
State	City	Median Sales Price*	Tax		Tax Rate	
California	Oakland	726,900	9,524	1	1.310%	21
Florida	Miami	371,600	8,321	2	2.239%	11
California	San Francisco	726,900	8,207	3	1.129%	33
California	San Diego	605,600	6,659	4	1.100%	37
Maryland	Baltimore	264,700	6,071	5	2.294%	10
California	San Jose	490,490**	5,614	6	1.145%	29
Michigan	Detroit	169,200	5,471	7	3.234%	1
California	Los Angeles	474,800	5,408	8	1.139%	30
Wisconsin	Milwaukee	216,800	5,344	9	2.465%	6
California	Long Beach	474,800	4,984	10	1.050%	38
Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	211,000	4,713	11	2.234%	12
Texas	Fort Worth	149,100	4,213	12	2.825%	3
California	Sacramento	377,400	4,155	13	1.101%	36
Texas	Arlington	149,100	4,145	14	2.780%	5
Texas	Austin	166,800	4,076	15	2.444%	7
Illinois	Chicago	265,400	3,975	16	1.498%	17
Texas	San Antonio				2.792%	4
		134,000	3,741	17		
District of Columbia	•	429,200	3,550	18	0.827%	44
California	Fresno	307,500	3,540	19	1.151%	28
Texas	Dallas	149,100	3,532	20	2.369%	8
Nevada	Las Vegas	300,100	3,397	21	1.132%	31
Texas	Houston	142,500	3,326	22	2.334%	9
Texas	El Paso	108,900	3,106	23	2.852%	2
Minnesota	Minneapolis	237,700	3,054	24	1.285%	22
Washington	Seattle	310,300	3,020	25	0.973%	41
Oregon	Portland	238,000	2,946	26	1.238%	23
New York	New York City	452,700	2,893	27	0.639%	46
Massachusetts	Boston	418,500	2,863	28	0.684%	45
Nebraska	Omaha	137,300	2,832	29	2.062%	13
Tennessee	Memphis	150,100	2,804	30	1.868%	14
Arizona	Tucson	228,500	2,757	31	1.207%	24
Ohio	Cleveland	146,700	2,570	32	1.752%	15
Arizona	Phoenix	243,400	2,533	33	1.041%	39
Florida	Jacksonville	166,600	2,528	34	1.517%	16
Ohio	Columbus	155,900	2,315	35	1.485%	18
Missouri	Kansas City	157,100	2,284	36	1.454%	19
Hawaii	Honolulu	577,800	2,100	37	0.364%	50
North Carolina	Charlotte	179,600	2,025	38	1.127%	34
Georgia	Atlanta	166,500	1,924	39	1.156%	27
Tennessee	Nashville	159,700	1,872	40	1.173%	26
Indiana	Indianapolis	124,600	1,735	41	1.392%	20
New Mexico	Albuquerque	171,700	1,672	42	0.974%	40
Virginia	Virginia Beach	192,000	1,620	43	0.844%	43
Kentucky	Louisville	136,800	1,548	44	1.132%	32
Arizona	Mesa	243,400	1,517	45	0.623%	47
Louisiana	New Orleans	152,600	1,408	46	0.923%	42
Oklahoma	Tulsa	117,400	1,403	47	1.195%	25
Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	115,700	1,277	48	1.104%	35
Colorado	Denver	248,400	1,273	49	0.513%	48
Colorado	Colorado Springs	207,300	957	50	0.462%	49
AVERAGE	<u>r</u> 09	264,964	\$3,388		1.473%	-

 $\label{eq:median-sales-price-sources} Median Sales Price Sources: \ National Association of REALTORS (\underline{www.realtor.org}) \ and \ Homegain.com (marked with **). \\ Calculations by the Minnesota Taxpayers Association.$

^{*}Before calculating the tax, the median value was adjusted for differences in assessment practices using the area's reported median sales ratio.

Table 23: Top 50 Commercial Property Taxes
Payable 2005

			Payab	le 2005			
\$100,000 VALUED PROPE	RTY		•	\$1 MILLION-VALUED PI	ROPERTY		
\$20,000 Fixtures				\$200,000 Fixtures			
Rank State	City	Net Tax	ETR	Rank State	City	Net Tax	ETR
1 N V1.	N. V. I. C.	¢4.641	2.0700/	1 N Vl.	N. V. 1 C'	¢46 411	2.0600/
1 New York	New York City	\$4,641	3.868%	1 New York	New York City	\$46,411	3.868%
2 Michigan	Detroit	4,612	3.843%	2 Michigan	Detroit	46,117	3.843%
3 Illinois	Chicago	3,732		3 Arizona	Phoenix	38,048	3.171%
4 Texas	El Paso	3,645	3.037%	4 Illinois	Chicago	37,317	3.110%
5 Massachusetts	Boston	3,562	2.968%	5 Texas	El Paso	36,448	3.037%
6 Maryland	Baltimore	3,521	2.934%	6 Massachusetts	Boston	35,621	2.968%
7 Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	3,482	2.902%	7 Maryland	Baltimore	35,208	2.934%
8 Tennessee	Memphis	3,438	2.865%	8 Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	34,822	2.902%
9 Missouri	Kansas City	3,399	2.833%	9 Tennessee	Memphis	34,377	2.865%
10 Texas	Dallas	3,391	2.826%	10 Missouri	Kansas City	33,993	2.833%
11 Texas	Houston	3,350	2.791%	11 Texas	Dallas	33,915	2.826%
12 Texas	Fort Worth	3,305	2.754%	12 Arizona	Tucson	33,676	2.806%
13 Texas	Arlington	3,300	2.750%	13 Texas	Houston	33,496	2.791%
14 Arizona	Phoenix			14 Texas	Fort Worth	33,052	2.754%
15 Texas	San Antonio	3,209	2.674%	15 Texas	Arlington	33,002	2.750%
13 10,43	San Antonio	3,209	2.07470	13 Texas	Armigion	33,002	2.75070
16 Texas	Austin	3,067	2.555%	16 Minnesota	Minneapolis	32,736	2.728%
17 Wisconsin	Milwaukee	3,009	2.508%	17 Texas	San Antonio	32,088	2.674%
18 Indiana	Indianapolis	2,959	2.466%	18 Texas	Austin	30,665	2.555%
19 Louisiana	New Orleans	2,913	2.428%	19 Wisconsin	Milwaukee	30,094	2.508%
20 Florida	Miami	2,893	2.411%	20 Indiana	Indianapolis	29,591	2.466%
21 Arizona	Tucson	2,759	2.299%	21 Louisiana	New Orleans	29,135	2.428%
22 Minnesota	Minneapolis	2,578	2.149%	22 Florida	Miami	28,929	2.411%
23 Nebraska	Omaha	2,510	2.092%	23 Ohio	Cleveland	27,996	2.333%
24 Ohio	Cleveland	2,430	2.025%	24 Nebraska	Omaha	25,105	2.092%
AVERAGE	Cicveiana		1.990%	AVERAGE	Omana	24,494	2.041%
25 Colorado	Denver	2,166	1.805%	25 District of Columbi	a Washington	22,231	1.853%
					-		
26 Tennessee	Nashville	2,157	1.798%	26 Arizona	Mesa	21,737	1.811%
27 Florida	Jacksonville	2,142	1.785%	27 Colorado	Denver	21,665	1.805%
28 Colorado	Colorado Springs	2,027	1.689%	28 Tennessee	Nashville	21,574	1.798%
29 Georgia	Atlanta	1,855	1.546%	29 Florida	Jacksonville	21,417	1.785%
30 Ohio	Columbus	1,820	1.517%	30 Colorado	Colorado Springs	20,272	1.689%
31 Arizona	Mesa	1,819	1.516%	31 Georgia	Atlanta	18,555	1.546%
32 District of Columbia	Washington	1,713	1.428%	32 Ohio	Columbus	18,203	1.517%
33 Oregon	Portland	1,618	1.348%	33 Oregon	Portland	16,176	1.348%
34 California	Oakland	1,587	1.323%	34 California	Oakland		1.323%
35 Oklahoma	Tulsa	1,530	1.275%	35 Oklahoma	Tulsa	15,304	1.275%
26 011-1	01.1-1	1.502	1.2520/	26 011-1	Oldstone Cite	15.020	1.2520/
36 Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	1,503	1.252%	36 Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	15,028	1.252%
37 California	Los Angeles	1,445	1.204%	37 California	Los Angeles	14,447	1.204%
38 New Mexico	Albuquerque	1,416	1.180%	38 New Mexico	Albuquerque	14,164	1.180%
39 California	Fresno	1,413	1.178%	39 California	Fresno	14,135	1.178%
40 California	San Jose	1,393	1.161%	40 California	San Jose	13,933	1.161%
41 Kentucky	Louisville	1,393	1.160%	41 Kentucky	Louisville	13,925	1.160%
42 Nevada	Las Vegas	1,376	1.147%	42 Nevada	Las Vegas	13,762	1.147%
43 California	San Francisco	1,368	1.140%	43 California	San Francisco	13,680	1.140%
44 North Carolina	Charlotte	1,363	1.136%	44 North Carolina	Charlotte	13,626	1.136%
45 California	Sacramento	1,346	1.122%	45 California	Sacramento	13,462	1.122%
46 California	San Diego	1,335	1.113%	46 California	San Diego	13,350	1.113%
47 California	Long Beach	1,333	1.113%	47 California	Long Beach	13,154	1.096%
48 Washington	Seattle	1,165	0.971%	48 Washington	Seattle	11,650	0.971%
40 Washington	Virginia Reach		0.971%	40 Washington	Virginia Beach		0.9/170

49 Virginia

50 Hawaii

Virginia Beach

Honolulu

11,003 0.917%

10,539 0.878%

1,100 0.917%

1,054 0.878%

49 Virginia

50 Hawaii

Virginia Beach

Honolulu

Table 23 (cont'd.): Top 50 Commercial Property TaxesPayable 2005
\$25 MILLION-VALUED PROPERTY

\$5,000,000 Fixtures

Rank	State	City	Net Tax	ETR
1	NI WI-	Name Wards Cites	¢1 170 270	2.07.00/
	New York	New York City	\$1,160,278	3.868%
	Michigan	Detroit	1,152,916	3.843%
	Arizona	Phoenix	1,002,974	3.343%
	Illinois	Chicago	932,916	3.110%
5	Texas	El Paso	911,212	3.037%
6	Arizona	Tucson	899,076	2.997%
	Massachusetts	Boston	890,530	2.968%
	Maryland	Baltimore	880,200	2.934%
9	Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	870,540	2.902%
10	Tennessee	Memphis	859,418	2.865%
11	Missouri	Kansas City	849,814	2.833%
12	Texas	Dallas	847,864	2.826%
	Minnesota	Minneapolis	847,834	2.826%
	Texas	Houston	837,411	2.791%
	Texas	Fort Worth	826,303	2.754%
1.6	Towas	Aulimatan	925.059	2.7500/
	Texas Texas	Arlington San Antonio	825,058 802,197	2.750%
	Texas	Austin		2.674%
			766,637	2.555%
	Wisconsin	Milwaukee	752,346	2.508%
20	Indiana	Indianapolis	739,771	2.466%
21	Louisiana	New Orleans	728,366	2.428%
	Florida	Miami	723,224	2.411%
	Ohio	Cleveland	722,057	2.407%
24	Nebraska	Omaha	627,619	2.092%
	AVERAGE		617,047	2.057%
25	District of Columbia	Washington	596,575	1.989%
26	Arizona	Mesa	576,769	1.923%
	Colorado	Denver	541,621	1.805%
	Tennessee	Nashville	539,350	1.798%
	Florida	Jacksonville	535,420	1.785%
	Colorado	Colorado Springs	506,797	1.689%
21	Gaaraia	Atlanta	162 972	1 5/160/
	Georgia	Columbus	463,873	1.546%
	Ohio	Portland	455,078	1.517%
	Oregon		404,389	1.348%
	California Oklahoma	Oakland Tulsa	396,870	1.323%
33	Okianoma	Tuisa	382,590	1.275%
	Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	375,705	1.252%
	California	Los Angeles	361,168	1.204%
38	New Mexico	Albuquerque	354,108	1.180%
	California	Fresno	353,368	1.178%
40	California	San Jose	348,330	1.161%
41	Kentucky	Louisville	348,132	1.160%
	Nevada	Las Vegas	344,046	1.147%
43	California	San Francisco	342,000	1.140%
44	North Carolina	Charlotte	340,655	1.136%
	California	Sacramento	336,540	1.122%
46	California	San Diego	333,750	1.113%
	California	Long Beach	328,841	1.096%
	Washington	Seattle	291,249	0.971%
	Virginia	Virginia Beach	275,068	0.917%
	Hawaii	Honolulu	263,471	0.878%
50		1101101010	200,771	0.07070

Table 24: Top 50 Industrial Property Taxes (50% Personal Property)
Payable 2005

\$100,000 VALUED PROPERTY \$50,000 Machinery and Equipment \$40,000 Inventories \$10,000 Fixtures Rank State City \$1 MILLION-VALUED PROPERTY \$500,000 Machinery and Equipment \$400,000 Inventories \$100,000 Fixtures Rank State

\$10,000 Fixtures				\$100,000 Fixtures			
Rank State	City	Net Tax	ETR	Rank State		Net Tax	ETR
1 Michigan	Detroit	\$6,549	3.274%	1 Michigan	Detroit	\$65,490	3.274%
2 Texas	Fort Worth	6,333	3.166%	2 Texas	Fort Worth	63,326	3.166%
3 Texas	El Paso	6,245	3.122%	3 Texas	El Paso	62,448	3.122%
4 Texas	Houston	6,132	3.066%	4 Texas	Houston	61,323	3.066%
5 Texas	Arlington	6,081	3.041%	5 Texas	Arlington	60,815	3.041%
6 Texas	San Antonio	5,994	2.997%	6 Texas	San Antonio	59,941	2.997%
7 Texas	Dallas	5,970	2.985%	7 Texas	Dallas	59,697	2.985%
8 Texas	Austin	5,485	2.742%	8 Texas	Austin	54,846	2.742%
9 Louisiana	New Orleans	4,969	2.484%	9 Arizona	Phoenix	53,378	2.669%
10 New York	New York City	4,641	2.321%	10 Ohio	Cleveland	50,853	2.543%
11 Indiana	Indianapolis	4,549	2.275%	11 Arizona	Tucson	50,605	2.530%
12 Missouri	Kansas City	4,454	2.227%	12 Louisiana	New Orleans	49,689	2.484%
	Memphis	4,434	2.227%	12 Louisiana 13 New York	New York City	46,411	2.484%
13 Tennessee	Cleveland				•		
14 Ohio		4,162	2.081%	14 Indiana	Indianapolis	45,490	2.275%
15 Illinois	Chicago	3,911	1.956%	15 Missouri	Kansas City	44,538	2.227%
16 Florida	Miami	3,871	1.936%	16 Tennessee	Memphis	43,345	2.167%
17 Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	3,482	1.741%	17 Ohio	Columbus	40,509	2.025%
18 Arizona	Phoenix	3,396	1.698%	18 Illinois	Chicago	39,112	1.956%
19 Nebraska	Omaha	3,370	1.685%	19 Florida	Miami	38,711	1.936%
20 Massachusetts	Boston	3,268	1.634%	20 District of Columbia	Washington	35,831	1.792%
AVERAGE		3,264	1.632%				
				21 Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	34,822	1.741%
21 Ohio	Columbus	3,228	1.614%	AVERAGE		34,503	1.725%
22 Georgia	Atlanta	3,040	1.520%	22 Nebraska	Omaha	33,696	1.685%
23 Colorado	Denver	2,951	1.475%	23 Minnesota	Minneapolis	32,736	1.637%
24 Maryland	Baltimore	2,944	1.472%	24 Massachusetts	Boston	32,680	1.634%
25 Arizona	Tucson	2,916	1.458%	25 Arizona	Mesa	31,609	1.580%
26 Florida	Jacksonville	2,855	1.427%	26 Georgia	Atlanta	30,398	1.520%
27 Wisconsin	Milwaukee	2,759	1.379%	27 Colorado	Denver	29,509	1.475%
28 Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	2,723	1.361%	28 Maryland	Baltimore	29,438	1.472%
29 Tennessee	Nashville	2,720	1.360%	29 Florida	Jacksonville	28,550	1.427%
30 Colorado	Colorado Springs	2,717	1.359%	30 Wisconsin	Milwaukee	27,586	1.379%
31 Minnesota	Minneapolis	2,578	1.289%	31 Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	27,225	1.361%
32 Oklahoma	Tulsa	2,472	1.236%	32 Tennessee	Nashville	27,202	1.360%
33 Oregon	Portland	2,377	1.189%	33 Colorado	Colorado Springs	27,173	1.359%
34 California	Oakland	2,117	1.058%	34 Oklahoma	Tulsa	24,721	1.236%
35 District of Columbia		2,053	1.027%	35 Oregon	Portland	23,770	1.189%
33 District of Columbia	washington	2,033	1.027/0	33 Olegon	rortiand	23,770	1.109/0
36 New Mexico	Albuquerque	1,983	0.992%	36 California	Oakland	21,166	1.058%
37 Arizona	Mesa	1,910	0.955%	37 New Mexico	Albuquerque	19,830	0.992%
38 California	Fresno	1,885	0.942%	38 California	Fresno	18,846	0.942%
39 California	San Jose	1,858	0.929%	39 California	San Jose	18,578	0.929%
40 California	Los Angeles	1,850	0.925%	40 California	Los Angeles	18,495	0.925%
41 Nevada	Las Vegas	1,838	0.919%	41 Nevada	Las Vegas	18,382	0.919%
42 North Carolina	Charlotte	1,833	0.917%	42 North Carolina	Charlotte	18,333	0.917%
43 California	San Francisco	1,824	0.912%	43 California	San Francisco	18,240	0.912%
44 California	Sacramento	1,792	0.896%	44 California	Sacramento	17,921	0.896%
45 California	San Diego	1,780	0.890%	45 California	San Diego	17,800	0.890%
46 California	Long Beach	1,705	0.852%	46 California	Long Beach	17,046	0.852%
47 Kentucky	Louisville	1,557	0.778%	47 Kentucky	Louisville	15,568	0.778%
48 Washington	Seattle	1,549	0.774%	48 Washington	Seattle	15,486	0.774%
49 Virginia	Virginia Beach	1,117	0.559%	49 Virginia	Virginia Beach	11,173	0.559%
50 Hawaii	Honolulu	1,082	0.541%	50 Hawaii	Honolulu	10,821	0.541%
0 0 110 011	-101101010	1,002	3.5 .1/0	0 0 114.7411		10,021	0.0 11/0

Table 24 (cont'd.): Top 50 Industrial Property Taxes (50% Personal Property)

Payable 2005

\$25 MILLION-VALUED PROPERTY

\$12,500,000 Machinery and Equipment

\$10,000,000 Inventories

\$2,500,000 Fixtures

Rank State	City	Net Tax	ETR
1 Michigan	Detroit	\$1,637,238	3.274%
2 Texas	Fort Worth	1,583,139	3.166%
3 Texas	El Paso	1,561,204	3.100%
4 Texas	Houston	1,533,075	3.066%
5 Texas	Arlington	1,520,369	3.041%
6 Texas	San Antonio	1,498,537	2.997%
7 Texas	Dallas	1,492,430	2.985%
8 Arizona	Phoenix	1,386,247	2.772%
9 Texas	Austin	1,371,150	2.742%
10 Arizona	Tucson	1,322,296	2.645%
11 Ohio	Cleveland	1,295,946	2.592%
12 Louisiana	New Orleans	1,242,236	2.484%
13 New York	New York City	1,160,278	2.321%
14 Indiana	Indianapolis	1,137,253	2.275%
15 Missouri	Kansas City	1,113,444	2.227%
16 Tannaggaa	Mamphia	1 002 614	2 1670/
16 Tennessee	Memphis	1,083,614	2.167%
17 Ohio	Columbus	1,034,678	2.069%
18 Illinois	Chicago	977,803	1.956%
19 Florida	Miami	967,763	1.936%
20 District of Columbia	Washington	936,575	1.873%
21 Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	870,540	1.741%
AVERAGE	типиистрини	867,761	1.736%
22 Minnesota	Minneapolis	847,834	1.696%
23 Nebraska	Omaha	842,410	1.685%
24 Arizona	Mesa	823,581	1.647%
25 Massachusetts	Boston	817,000	1.634%
23 iviassaciiusetts	DOSTOIL	817,000	1.034/0
26 Georgia	Atlanta	759,949	1.520%
27 Colorado	Denver	737,725	1.475%
28 Maryland	Baltimore	735,950	1.472%
29 Florida	Jacksonville	713,745	1.427%
30 Wisconsin	Milwaukee	689,651	1.379%
31 Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	680,625	1.361%
32 Tennessee	Nashville	680,050	1.360%
33 Colorado	Colorado Springs	679,324	1.359%
34 Oklahoma	Tulsa	618,030	1.236%
35 Oregon	Portland	594,255	1.189%
33 Olegon	romanu	394,233	1.109/0
36 California	Oakland		1.058%
37 New Mexico	Albuquerque	495,752	0.992%
38 California	Fresno	471,157	0.942%
39 California	San Jose	464,440	0.929%
40 California	Los Angeles	462,387	0.925%
41 Nevada	Las Vegas	459,553	0.919%
42 North Carolina	Charlotte	458,325	0.917%
43 California	San Francisco	456,000	0.912%
44 California	Sacramento	448,033	0.896%
45 California	San Diego	445,000	0.890%
46 California	Long Beach	426,145	0.852%
47 Kentucky	Louisville	389,189	0.778%
48 Washington	Seattle	387,140	0.774%
49 Virginia	Virginia Beach	279,318	0.774%
50 Hawaii	Honolulu	279,518	0.539%
JU Hawaii	11011011111	210,321	0.541/0

Table 25: Top 50 Industrial Property Taxes (60% Personal Property)
Payable 2005

\$100,000 VALUED PROPERTY \$75,000 Machinery and Equipment \$60,000 Inventories \$15,000 Fixtures \$1 MILLION-VALUED PROPERTY \$750,000 Machinery and Equipment \$600,000 Inventories \$150,000 Fixtures

Rank State	City	Net Tax	ETR	Rank State		Net Tax	ETR
IXAIIN STATE	City	11CL 1 AX	EIN	Naur State		11C1 1 AX	EIK
1 Texas	Fort Worth	\$7,916	3.166%	1 Texas	Fort Worth	\$79,157	3.166%
2 Michigan	Detroit		3.129%	2 Michigan	Detroit		3.129%
3 Texas	El Paso		3.122%	3 Texas	El Paso	/	3.122%
4 Texas	Houston		3.066%	4 Texas	Houston		3.066%
5 Texas	Arlington	/	3.041%	5 Texas	Arlington		3.041%
	_				_		
6 Texas	San Antonio		2.997%	6 Texas	San Antonio		2.997%
7 Texas	Dallas		2.985%	7 Texas	Dallas		2.985%
8 Texas	Austin		2.742%	8 Texas	Austin	/	2.742%
9 Louisiana	New Orleans	,	2.501%	9 Arizona	Phoenix		2.595%
10 Indiana	Indianapolis	5,642	2.257%	10 Arizona	Tucson	63,301	2.532%
11 Ohio	Cleveland	5,403	2.161%	11 Ohio	Cleveland	63,267	2.531%
12 Missouri	Kansas City	5,245	2.098%	12 Louisiana	New Orleans	62,536	2.501%
13 Tennessee	Memphis	5,007	2.003%	13 Indiana	Indianapolis	56,421	2.257%
14 New York	New York City	4,641	1.856%	14 Missouri	Kansas City	52,447	2.098%
15 Florida	Miami		1.842%	15 Ohio	Columbus		2.063%
16 Arizona	Dhaain	1516	1 0100/	16 Tannassa	Manahia	50.070	2.0020/
17 Ohio	Phoenix Columbus		1.818%	16 Tennessee 17 New York	Memphis New York City		2.003%
			1.734%		•		1.856%
18 Arizona	Tucson	,	1.674%	18 Florida	Miami		1.842%
19 Nebraska	Omaha		1.606%	19 District of Columbia	Washington		1.841%
AVERAGE	CI.		1.573%	AVERAGE	0 1		1.648%
20 Illinois	Chicago	3,911	1.564%	20 Nebraska	Omaha	40,140	1.606%
21 Georgia	Atlanta	3,800	1.520%	21 Illinois	Chicago	39,112	1.564%
22 Colorado	Denver	3,511	1.404%	22 Arizona	Mesa	39,014	1.561%
23 Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	3,485	1.394%	23 Georgia	Atlanta	37,999	1.520%
24 Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	3,482	1.393%	24 Colorado	Denver		1.404%
25 Massachusetts	Boston	3,431	1.373%	25 Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	34,848	1.394%
26 Florida	Jacksonville	3.390	1.356%	26 Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	34.822	1.393%
27 Colorado	Colorado Springs		1.294%	27 Massachusetts	Boston		1.373%
28 Maryland	Baltimore		1.293%	28 Florida	Jacksonville		1.356%
29 Tennessee	Nashville		1.257%	29 Minnesota	Minneapolis		1.309%
30 District of Columbia			1.229%	30 Colorado	Colorado Springs	32,730	1.294%
	-		4 22 40/		- 1:1		4.0000/
31 Oklahoma	Tulsa		1.224%	31 Maryland	Baltimore		1.293%
32 Oregon	Portland		1.179%	32 Tennessee	Nashville		1.257%
33 Wisconsin	Milwaukee	,	1.154%	33 Oklahoma	Tulsa		1.224%
34 Arizona	Mesa		1.060%	34 Oregon	Portland		1.179%
35 Minnesota	Minneapolis	2,578	1.031%	35 Wisconsin	Milwaukee	28,840	1.154%
36 California	Oakland	2,514	1.005%	36 California	Oakland	25,135	1.005%
37 New Mexico	Albuquerque		0.963%	37 New Mexico	Albuquerque		0.963%
38 California	Fresno		0.895%	38 California	Fresno		0.895%
39 California	San Jose		0.882%	39 California	San Jose		0.882%
40 California	Los Angeles		0.879%	40 California	Los Angeles	21,963	0.879%
41 North Carolina	Charlotte	2 186	0.875%	41 North Carolina	Charlotte	21 862	0.875%
42 Nevada	Las Vegas		0.873%	42 Nevada	Las Vegas		0.874%
42 Nevada 43 California	San Francisco		0.874%	42 Nevada 43 California	San Francisco		
		,					0.866%
44 California 45 California	Sacramento San Diego		0.851% 0.846%	44 California 45 California	Sacramento San Diego		0.851% 0.846%
		ŕ					
46 California	Long Beach		0.810%	46 California	Long Beach		0.810%
47 Washington	Seattle		0.734%	47 Washington	Seattle		0.734%
48 Kentucky	Louisville		0.728%	48 Kentucky	Louisville		0.728%
49 Virginia	Virginia Beach		0.510%	49 Virginia	Virginia Beach		0.510%
50 Hawaii	Honolulu	1,082	0.433%	50 Hawaii	Honolulu	10,821	0.433%

Table 25 (cont'd.): Top 50 Industrial Property Taxes (60% Personal Property)

Payable 2005 \$25 MILLION-VALUED PROPERTY \$18,750,000 Machinery and Equipment \$15,000,000 Inventories \$3,750,000 Fixtures

Rank State	City	Net Tax	ETR
1 Texas	Fort Worth	\$1,978,923	3.166%
2 Michigan	Detroit	1,955,313	3.129%
3 Texas	El Paso	1,951,505	3.122%
4 Texas	Houston	1,916,343	3.066%
5 Texas	Arlington	1,900,461	3.041%
6 Texas	San Antonio	1,873,171	2.997%
7 Texas	Dallas	1,865,538	2.985%
8 Texas	Austin	1,713,938	2.742%
9 Arizona	Phoenix	1,673,701	2.678%
10 Arizona	Tucson	1,639,711	2.624%
11 Ohio	Cleveland	1,606,311	2.570%
12 Louisiana	New Orleans	1,563,405	2.501%
13 Indiana	Indianapolis	1,410,521	2.257%
14 Ohio	Columbus	1,311,253	2.098%
15 Missouri	Kansas City	1,311,166	2.098%
	·		
16 Tennessee	Memphis	1,251,761	2.003%
17 District of Columbia	Washington	1,191,575	1.907%
18 New York	New York City	1,160,278	1.856%
19 Florida	Miami	1,151,167	1.842%
AVERAGE		1,035,158	1.656%
20 Arizona	Mesa	1,008,691	1.614%
21 Nebraska	Omaha	1,003,504	1.606%
22 Illinois	Chicago	977,803	1.564%
23 Georgia	Atlanta	949,976	1.520%
24 Colorado	Denver	877,799	1.404%
25 Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	871,200	1.394%
26 Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	870,540	1.393%
27 Massachusetts	Boston	857,850	1.373%
28 Minnesota	Minneapolis	847,834	1.357%
29 Florida	Jacksonville	847,489	1.356%
30 Colorado	Colorado Springs	808,719	1.294%
31 Maryland	Baltimore	808,075	1.293%
32 Tennessee	Nashville	785,575	1.257%
33 Oklahoma	Tulsa	765,180	1.224%
34 Oregon	Portland	736,654	1.179%
35 Wisconsin	Milwaukee	720,998	1.154%
36 California	Oakland	628.378	1.005%
37 New Mexico	Albuquerque	601,984	0.963%
38 California	Fresno	559,499	0.895%
39 California	San Jose	551,523	0.882%
40 California	Los Angeles	549,084	0.879%
41 North Carolina	Charlotte	546,577	0.875%
42 Nevada	Las Vegas	546,183	0.874%
43 California	San Francisco	541,500	0.866%
44 California	Sacramento	531,824	0.851%
45 California	San Diego	528,438	0.846%
46 California	Lama Decel	E06.045	0.0100/
46 California	Long Beach	506,047	0.810%
47 Washington	Seattle	459,059	0.734%
48 Kentucky	Louisville	454,910	0.728%
49 Virginia	Virginia Beach	318,443	0.510%
50 Hawaii	Honolulu	270,521	0.433%

Table 26: Top 50 Apartment Property Taxes

Payable 2005 \$600,000VALUED PROPERTY \$30,000 Fixtures

Rank State	City	Net Tax	ETR
1 New York	New York City	\$26,151	4.151%
2 Michigan	Detroit	25,812	4.097%
3 Texas	Fort Worth	18,808	
4 Tennessee	Memphis	18,608	
5 Texas	El Paso	18,457	2.930%
6 Texas	Dallas	18,002	2.858%
7 Texas	Arlington	17,184	2.728%
8 Texas	Houston	16,861	2.676%
9 Texas	Austin	16,436	2.609%
10 Texas	San Antonio	16,398	2.603%
11 Maryland	Baltimore	15,932	2.529%
12 Wisconsin	Milwaukee	15,799	2.508%
13 Illinois	Chicago	15,684	
14 Florida	Miami	15,157	2.406%
15 Ohio	Cleveland	14,581	2.314%
16 Indiana	Indianapolis	14,177	2.250%
17 Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	13,401	2.127%
18 Nebraska	Omaha	13,130	
19 Tennessee	Nashville	11,678	1.854%
20 Louisiana	New Orleans	11,048	1.754%
21 Ohio	Columbus	10,922	1.734%
AVERAGE	Columbus	10,746	1.740%
22 Florida	Jacksonville	10,740	1.700%
23 Minnesota	Minneapolis	9,950	
24 Georgia	Atlanta	9,621	1.527%
25 Missouri	Kansas City	9,513	1.510%
26 Arizona	Tucson	8,938	1.419%
27 California	Oakland	8,334	1.323%
28 Oklahoma	Tulsa	8,123	1.289%
29 Oregon	Portland	7,997	
30 Arizona	Phoenix	7,828	1.243%
31 Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	7,645	1.213%
32 California	Fresno	7,421	1.178%
33 California	Los Angeles	7,369	
34 California	San Jose	7,315	
35 California	San Francisco	7,182	1.140%
36 Nevada	Las Vegas		1.130%
37 North Carolina	Charlotte	7,117	1.130%
38 California	Sacramento	7,067	1.122%
39 California	San Diego	7,009	1.113%
40 Massachusetts	Boston	6,839	1.086%
41 California	Long Beach	6,767	1.074%
42 Kentucky	Louisville	6,728	1.068%
43 New Mexico	Albuquerque	6,535	1.037%
44 Washington	Seattle	6,127	0.973%
45 District of Columbia	Washington	5,334	0.847%
46 Virginia	Virginia Beach	5,270	0.836%
47 Arizona	Mesa	4,739	0.752%
48 Colorado	Denver	3,605	0.572%
49 Colorado	Colorado Springs	3,288	0.522%
50 Hawaii	Honolulu	2,355	0.374%

V. Rankings Tables - Rural

Table 27: Rural Homestead Property Taxes Payable 2005

70,000								
	VALUED PROI	<u>PERTY</u>			\$150,000 VALUED PRO	<u>PERTY</u>		
Rank S	State	City	Net Tax	ETR	Rank State		Net Tax	ETR
1.6	Connecticut	Windham	\$2,099	2.999%	1 New York	Dlattahurah	\$4.960	3.246%
	New York	Plattsburgh	1,916		2 Connecticut	Plattsburgh Windham	\$4,869 4,498	2.999%
		ē						
	Wisconsin	Mayville	1,501	2.144%	3 New Jersey	Maurice River Township	3,570	2.380%
	North Dakota	Bottineau	1,463	2.090%	4 Illinois	Carlinville	3,406	2.2709
5 K	Kansas	Larned	1,460	2.086%	5 Wisconsin	Mayville	3,320	2.213%
6 N	New Jersey	Maurice River Township	1,456	2.080%	6 Texas	Fort Stockton	3,256	2.1719
7 V	/ermont	Morristown	1,456	2.079%	7 Kansas	Larned	3,182	2.1219
8 T	Texas	Fort Stockton	1,392	1.989%	8 North Dakota	Bottineau	3,134	2.0909
9 I	llinois	Carlinville	1,388	1.982%	9 Vermont	Morristown	3,119	2.0799
10 N	Nebraska	Mullen	1,335	1.907%	10 IOWA	Hampton	2,894	1.9299
11 N	Michigan	Escanaba	1,334	1.905%	11 Nebraska	Mullen	2,861	1.907
	OWA	Hampton	1,235	1.765%	12 Michigan	Escanaba	2,858	1.9059
	Pennsylvania	Williamsport	1,204	1.720%	13 Alaska	Fairbanks	2,665	1.777
	South Dakota	Sisseton	1,184	1.691%	14 Florida	Moore Haven	2,648	1.7659
	Alaska	Fairbanks	1,137	1.624%	15 Pennsylvania	Williamsport	2,580	1.720
13 P	Aiaska	randanks	1,137	1.02470	13 remisyivama	williamsport	2,360	1.720
	Rhode Island	Hopkinton	1,077	1.539%	16 South Dakota	Sisseton	2,537	1.6919
	New Hampshire	Auburn	989	1.413%	17 Indiana	North Vernon	2,322	1.548
18 N	Massachusetts	Holliston	976	1.395%	18 Rhode Island	Hopkinton	2,308	1.539
19 F	Florida	Moore Haven	927	1.324%	19 New Hampshire	Auburn	2,120	1.413
20 C	Oregon	Coos Bay	918	1.311%	20 Massachusetts	Holliston	2,092	1.395
					AVERAGE		2,004	1.3369
	Washington	Rock Island	909	1.299%	• • •			
	AVERAGE			1.246%	21 Oregon	Coos Bay	1,967	1.311
22 C	Ohio	Marion	865	1.236%	22 Georgia	Fitzgerald	1,961	1.307
23 N	Vevada	Fallon	860	1.229%	23 Washington	Rock Island	1,948	1.299
24 N	Maine	Hudson	832	1.188%	24 Mississippi	Tylertown	1,916	1.2779
25 N	Maryland	Hampstead	831	1.187%	25 Maine	Hudson	1,914	1.2769
26 (Georgia	Fitzgerald	822	1.174%	26 Ohio	Marion	1,854	1.2369
	Missouri	Boonville	770	1.100%	27 Nevada	Fallon	1,844	1.229
	Montana	Dillon	765	1.093%	28 Maryland	Hampstead	1,780	1.187
	Mississippi	Tylertown	750	1.071%	29 Minnesota	Glencoe	1,714	1.142
	North Carolina	Asheboro	741	1.07176	30 Missouri	Boonville	1,649	1.142
21 L		North Warran	724	1.0240/	21 Mantana	Dillon	1 620	1 002
	ndiana	North Vernon	724	1.034%	31 Montana		1,639	1.093
	Kentucky	Lawrenceburg	682	0.974%	32 North Carolina	Asheboro	1,588	1.059
	/irginia	Lynchburg	681	0.973%	33 Idaho	Saint Anthony	1,571	1.047
	daho	Saint Anthony	679	0.970%	34 Kentucky	Lawrenceburg	1,461	0.974
35 N	Minnesota	Glencoe	666	0.952%	35 Virginia	Lynchburg	1,460	0.973
36 C	California	Red Bluff	632	0.903%	36 California	Red Bluff	1,435	0.957
37 V	Vyoming	Lovell	526	0.751%	37 South Carolina	Mullins	1,266	0.844
	South Carolina	Mullins	520	0.743%	38 Wyoming	Lovell	1,127	0.751
	Arizona	Winslow	518	0.740%	39 Oklahoma	Hollis	1,115	0.743
40 L		Richfield	491	0.701%	40 Arizona	Winslow	1,111	0.740
/1 C	Oklahoma	Hollis	486	0.695%	41 Utah	Richfield	1,052	0.701
	Tennessee	Savannah	438	0.626%	42 New Mexico	Clayton	979	0.653
	New Mexico	Clayton	433	0.618%	43 Tennessee	Savannah	939	0.626
	West Virginia	Parsons	368	0.525%	44 Arkansas	Jonesboro	850	0.566
45 C	Colorado	Walsenburg	334	0.478%	45 Louisiana	Natchitoches	790	0.526
46 I	Delaware	Smyrna	310	0.443%	46 West Virginia	Parsons	788	0.525
	Arkansas	Jonesboro	236	0.338%	47 Colorado	Walsenburg	717	0.478
47 A	Alabama	Millbrook	158	0.225%	48 Delaware	Smyrna	665	0.443
47 A 48 A		Millbrook Kauai	158 134	0.225% 0.191%	48 Delaware 49 Hawaii	Smyrna Kauai	665 491	0.4439

Table 27 (cont'd.): Rural Homestead Property Taxes

Payable 2005 \$300,000 VALUED PROPERT Rank State Net Tax **ETR** City 1 New York Plattsburgh \$10,406 3.469% 2 Connecticut Windham 8,996 2.999% 7,741 2.580% 3 New Jersey Maurice River Township 4 Illinois Carlinville 7.190 2.397% 5 Texas Fort Stockton 6,750 2.250% 6 Wisconsin Mayville 6,731 2.244% Larned 6,409 2.136% 7 Kansas 6,269 2.090% 8 North Dakota Bottineau 9 Vermont Morristown 6,238 2.079% 10 IOWA 6,004 2.001% Hampton 11 Florida Moore Haven 5,874 1.958% 12 Nebraska Mullen 5,721 1.907% 13 Michigan Escanaba 5,715 1.905% 14 Alaska Fairbanks 5,530 1.843% 15 Indiana North Vernon 5,318 1.773% 16 Pennsylvania Williamsport 5,161 1.720% 17 South Dakota Sisseton 5,074 1.691% 18 Rhode Island Hopkinton 4,617 1.539% 19 New Hampshire Auburn 4,239 1.413% 20 Massachusetts Holliston 4,185 1.395% AVERAGE 4,154 1.385% 21 Mississippi Tylertown 4,132 1.377% 4,096 1.365% 22 Georgia Fitzgerald 3.996 23 Idaho Saint Anthony 1.332% 24 Oregon Coos Bay 3,933 1.311% 25 Maine Hudson 3,927 1.309% 26 Washington Rock Island 3,896 1.299% 27 Minnesota Glencoe 3,800 1.267% 28 Ohio 3,708 1.236% Marion 29 Nevada Fallon 3,687 1.229% 30 Maryland Hampstead 3,560 1.187% Boonville 31 Missouri 3.299 1.100% 32 Montana Dillon 3,279 1.093% 1.059% 33 North Carolina Asheboro 3,176 34 South Carolina Mullins 2,957 0.986% 35 California Red Bluff 2,941 0.980% 2,921 0.974% 36 Kentucky Lawrenceburg 37 Virginia Lynchburg 2,920 0.973% 38 Oklahoma Hollis 2,293 0.764% 39 Wyoming Lovell 2,253 0.751% 40 Louisiana Natchitoches 2,239 0.746% Winslow 0.740% 41 Arizona 2,221 42 Utah Richfield 2,104 0.701% 43 New Mexico Clayton 2,003 0.668% 44 Arkansas Jonesboro 1,999 0.666% 1,878 45 Tennessee 0.626% Savannah 46 West Virginia Parsons 1,576 0.525% 47 Colorado Walsenburg 1,433 0.478% 48 Delaware Smyrna 1,329 0.443%

Kauai

Millbrook

1,162

815

0.387%

0.272%

49 Hawaii

50 Alabama

Table 28: Rural Commercial Property Taxes
Payable 2005

\$100,000 VALUED PROPERTY \$20,000 Fixtures \$1 MILLION-VALUED PROPERTY \$200,000 Fixtures

\$20,000 Fixtures				\$200,000 Fixtures			
Rank State	City	Net Tax	ETR	Rank State		Net Tax	ETR
1 Kansas	Larned	\$5,728	4.773%	1 Kansas	Larned	\$57,280	4.773%
2 New York	Plattsburgh	3,691	3.076%		Plattsburgh	36,913	3.076%
3 Connecticut	Windham	3,599	2.999%		Windham	35,986	2.999%
		,					
4 Texas	Fort Stockton	,	2.766%		Fort Stockton	33,186	2.766%
5 Michigan	Escanaba	3,288	2.740%	5 Michigan	Escanaba	32,884	2.740%
(IOWA	Ht.	2 102	2.50(0/	(IOWA	II	21.020	2.50(0/
6 IOWA	Hampton		2.586%		Hampton	,	2.586%
7 South Carolina	Mullins		2.377%		Glencoe	30,470	2.539%
8 Wisconsin	Mayville	2,729	2.274%		Mullins	28,519	2.377%
9 Indiana	North Vernon	2,725	2.271%	9 Wisconsin	Mayville	27,286	2.274%
10 Florida	Moore Haven	2,614	2.179%	10 Indiana	North Vernon	27,248	2.271%
44.35			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	44.77		26442	
11 New Jersey	Maurice River Township	2,580	2.150%		Moore Haven	26,142	2.179%
12 Illinois	Carlinville	2,523	2.102%		Maurice River Township	25,803	2.150%
13 Mississippi	Tylertown	2,489	2.074%	13 Illinois	Carlinville	25,228	2.102%
14 Minnesota	Glencoe	2,403	2.003%	14 Mississippi	Tylertown	24,885	2.074%
15 Vermont	Morristown	2,364	1.970%		Morristown	23,644	1.970%
16 Nebraska	Mullen	2,296	1.914%	16 Nebraska	Mullen	22,964	1.914%
17 Missouri	Boonville	2,219	1.849%	17 Missouri	Boonville	22,189	1.849%
18 North Dakota	Bottineau	2,211	1.843%	18 North Dakota	Bottineau	22,114	1.843%
19 Arizona	Winslow	2,202	1.835%	19 Arizona	Winslow	22,019	1.835%
20 Idaho	Saint Anthony	2,176	1.814%		Saint Anthony	21,764	1.814%
20 Idano	Sum rumony	2,170	1.014/0	20 Idano	Sum / minony	21,701	1.01470
21 South Dakota	Sisseton	2,133	1.777%	21 South Dakota	Sisseton	21,328	1.777%
22 Rhode Island	Hopkinton	2,125	1.771%	22 Rhode Island	Hopkinton	21,252	1.771%
23 Montana	Dillon	2,037	1.698%		Dillon	20,375	1.698%
AVERAGE	Dillon		1.656%	AVERAGE	Billon	/	1.671%
	Eninhants				Eninhamba		
24 Alaska	Fairbanks		1.592%		Fairbanks	19,102	1.592%
25 Maryland	Hampstead	1,866	1.555%	25 Maryland	Hampstead	18,660	1.555%
26 Louisiana	Natchitoches	1,793	1.494%	26 Louisiana	Natchitoches	17,925	1.494%
27 Colorado	Walsenburg	1,773	1.478%		Walsenburg	17,735	1.478%
28 Pennsylvania	Williamsport	1,720	1.434%		Williamsport	17,202	1.434%
29 Georgia	Fitzgerald	1,716	1.430%		Fitzgerald	17,158	1.430%
30 Massachusetts	Holliston	1,685	1.405%	30 Massachusetts	Holliston	16,855	1.405%
21 Oragan	Coos Bay	1 652	1.377%	21 Orogon	Coor Pou	16 520	1.377%
31 Oregon	•	1,653			Coos Bay	16,528	
32 Utah	Richfield	1,594	1.328%		Marion	15,981	1.332%
33 Maine	Hudson	1,584	1.320%		Richfield	15,941	1.328%
34 Washington	Rock Island	1,573	1.311%	34 Maine	Hudson	15,840	1.320%
35 Nevada	Fallon	1,449	1.208%	35 Washington	Rock Island	15,732	1.311%
26 Name II	A1	1 412	1 1700/	26 No 4-	Eallan	1 / 402	1.2000/
36 New Hampshire	Auburn	1,413	1.178%		Fallon	14,493	1.208%
37 Ohio	Marion		1.139%	37 New Hampshire		14,131	1.178%
38 Kentucky	Lawrenceburg	1,359	1.133%	•	Lawrenceburg	13,592	1.133%
39 North Carolina	Asheboro	1,296	1.080%	39 North Carolina	Asheboro	12,964	1.080%
40 West Virginia	Parsons	1,253	1.044%	40 West Virginia	Parsons	12,533	1.044%
41 (0-1:0 '	D a d Dlace	1.004	1.0040/	41 C-11C- 1	D - J D1C	12.044	1.00407
41 California	Red Bluff		1.004%		Red Bluff	12,044	1.004%
42 Tennessee	Savannah	1,166	0.972%		Savannah	11,664	0.972%
43 Virginia	Lynchburg	1,128	0.940%	Č	Lynchburg	11,275	0.940%
44 New Mexico	Clayton	928	0.773%		Clayton	9,277	0.773%
45 Oklahoma	Hollis	914	0.762%	45 Oklahoma	Hollis	9,144	0.762%
16 Wyomina	Lovall	001	0.751%	46 Wyoming	Lovell	0.012	0.751%
46 Wyoming	Lovell	901				9,013	
47 Hawaii	Kauai	800	0.667%		Kauai	8,000	0.667%
48 Arkansas	Jonesboro	770	0.642%		Jonesboro	7,703	0.642%
49 Alabama	Millbrook	688	0.573%		Millbrook	6,879	0.573%
50 Delaware	Smyrna	443	0.369%	50 Delaware	Smyrna	4,430	0.369%

Table 28 (cont'd.): Rural Commercial Property TaxesPayable 2005
\$25 MILLION-VALUED PROPERTY

\$5,000,000 Fixtures

Rank St	ate	City	Net Tax	ETR
1 1/2	ansas	Larned	\$1,431,990	4.773%
	ansas ew York	Plattsburgh		
	onnecticut	Windham	922,837	3.076%
4 Te		Fort Stockton	899,640 820,650	2.999% 2.766%
			829,650	
3 M	ichigan	Escanaba	822,092	2.740%
	innesota	Glencoe	789,014	2.630%
)WA	Hampton	775,733	2.586%
	outh Carolina	Mullins	712,973	2.377%
	isconsin	Mayville	682,152	2.274%
10 In	diana	North Vernon	681,203	2.271%
11 Fl	orida	Moore Haven	653,556	2.179%
12 No	ew Jersey	Maurice River Township	645,067	2.150%
13 III	inois	Carlinville	630,692	2.102%
14 M	ississippi	Tylertown	622,134	2.074%
15 V	ermont	Morristown	591,101	1.970%
16 No	ebraska	Mullen	574,095	1.914%
	issouri	Boonville	554,730	1.849%
	orth Dakota	Bottineau	552,855	1.843%
	rizona	Winslow	550,476	1.835%
20 Id		Saint Anthony	544,095	1.814%
20 10	ano	Same Amazony	544,075	1.01470
21 Sc	outh Dakota	Sisseton	533,205	1.777%
22 RI	node Island	Hopkinton	531,300	1.771%
23 M	ontana	Dillon	509,369	1.698%
A	VERAGE		501,977	1.673%
24 Al	laska	Fairbanks	477,549	1.592%
25 M	aryland	Hampstead	466,500	1.555%
26 Lo	ouisiana	Natchitoches	448,137	1.494%
	olorado	Walsenburg	443,364	1.478%
	ennsylvania	Williamsport	430,051	1.434%
	eorgia	Fitzgerald	428,954	1.430%
	assachusetts	Holliston	421,370	1.405%
31 OI	hio	Marion	413,390	1.378%
32 Oi		Coos Bay	413,192	1.377%
33 Ut	-	Richfield	398,520	1.328%
34 M		Hudson	396,000	1.320%
	ashington	Rock Island	393,303	1.311%
36 No	avada	Fallon	362 214	1 2000/
	evada ew Hampshire	Auburn	362,314	1.208%
			353,280	1.178%
	entucky orth Carolina	Lawrenceburg	339,811	1.133%
	est Virginia	Asheboro Parsons	324,104 313,334	1.080% 1.044%
	alifornia	Red Bluff	301,110	1.004%
	ennessee	Savannah	291,610	0.972%
	irginia	Lynchburg	281,886	0.940%
	ew Mexico	Clayton	231,916	0.773%
45 Ol	klahoma	Hollis	228,600	0.762%
46 W	yoming	Lovell	225,315	0.751%
47 Ha	awaii	Kauai	200,000	0.667%
48 Aı	rkansas	Jonesboro	192,568	0.642%
49 Al	labama	Millbrook	171,970	0.573%
50 De	elaware	Smyrna	110,762	0.369%

Table 29: Rural Industrial Property Taxes (50% Personal Property)
Payable 2005

\$100,000 VALUED PROPERTY \$50,000 Machinery and Equipment \$40,000 Inventories

\$10,000 Fixtures

\$1 MILLION-VALUED PROPERTY \$500,000 Machinery and Equipment \$400,000 Inventories \$100,000 Fixtures

\$10,000 Fixtures				\$100,000 Fixtures			
Rank State	City	Net Tax	ETR	Rank State		Net Tax	ETR
	v						
1 Kansas	Larned	\$7,637	3.819%	1 Kansas	Larned	\$76,373	3.819%
2 South Carolina	Mullins	6,215	3.108%		Mullins	62,155	3.108%
			2.766%				
3 Texas	Fort Stockton	5,531			Fort Stockton	55,310	2.766%
4 Connecticut	Windham	4,798			Windham	47,981	2.399%
5 Michigan	Escanaba	4,523	2.262%	5 Michigan	Escanaba	45,232	2.262%
6 Mississinni	Tylontoxyn	4 222	2.116%	6 Mississinni	Trilantarin	42 227	2.116%
6 Mississippi	Tylertown	4,233		1.1	Tylertown	42,327	
7 Indiana	North Vernon	3,786	1.893%		North Vernon	37,860	1.893%
8 New York	Plattsburgh	3,691	1.846%		Plattsburgh	36,913	1.846%
9 Florida	Moore Haven	,	1.770%	9 Arizona	Winslow	35,608	1.780%
10 IOWA	Hampton	3,103	1.551%	10 Florida	Moore Haven	35,404	1.770%
11 Nebraska	Mullen	3,080	1.540%	11 IOWA	Hampton	21 020	1.551%
12 Louisiana	Natchitoches	3,053	1.526%		Mullen	30,799	1.540%
13 Missouri	Boonville	2,973	1.487%		Natchitoches	30,525	1.526%
14 Idaho	Saint Anthony	2,859	1.430%		Glencoe	30,470	1.523%
15 Montana	Dillon	2,773	1.386%	15 Missouri	Boonville	29,731	1.487%
16 Colorado	Walsenburg	2,590	1.295%	16 Ohio	Marion	29,381	1.469%
	Č				Saint Anthony		1.430%
17 New Jersey	Maurice River Township	2,580	1.290%		•	28,594	
18 Georgia	Fitzgerald		1.281%		Dillon	27,726	1.386%
AVERAGE			1.271%		Walsenburg	25,903	1.295%
19 Illinois	Carlinville	2,523	1.261%	20 New Jersey	Maurice River Township	25,803	1.290%
20 Wisconsin	Mayville	2,501	1.251%	AVERAGE		25,916	1.296%
21 Minnesota	Glencoe	2,403	1.202%	21 Georgia	Fitzgerald	25,617	1.281%
						/	
22 Vermont	Morristown	2,364	1.182%		Carlinville	25,228	1.261%
23 Ohio	Marion	2,360	1.180%		Mayville	25,012	1.251%
24 Oregon	Coos Bay	2,336	1.168%		Morristown	23,644	1.182%
25 Arizona	Winslow	2,294	1.147%	25 Oregon	Coos Bay	23,360	1.168%
26 North Dakota	Bottineau	2,211	1.106%	26 North Dakota	Bottineau	22,114	1.106%
27 West Virginia	Parsons	2,138	1.069%		Parsons	21,380	1.069%
28 South Dakota	Sisseton	2,133	1.066%		Sisseton	21,328	1.066%
29 Utah							
	Richfield	2,125	1.063%		Richfield	21,254	1.063%
30 Washington	Rock Island	2,122	1.061%	30 Washington	Rock Island	21,223	1.061%
31 Maine	Hudson	2,112	1.056%	31 Maine	Hudson	21,120	1.056%
32 Rhode Island	Hopkinton	1,948	0.974%		Hopkinton	19,481	0.974%
33 Nevada	Fallon	1,947	0.973%		Fallon	19,466	0.973%
	Fairbanks		0.975%		Fairbanks		0.975%
34 Alaska		1,910				19,102	
35 North Carolina	Asheboro	1,772	0.886%	35 North Carolina	Asheboro	17,718	0.886%
36 Kentucky	Lawrenceburg	1,768	0.884%	36 Kentucky	Lawrenceburg	17,679	0.884%
37 Pennsylvania	Williamsport	1,720	0.860%		Williamsport	17,202	0.860%
38 California	Red Bluff	1,606	0.803%		Red Bluff	16,059	0.803%
39 Maryland	Hampstead	1,554	0.777%		Hampstead	15,540	0.777%
40 Massachusetts	Holliston		0.770%	3	Holliston	15,402	0.770%
40 Massachuseus	HOIIISIOII	1,540	0.77076	40 Massachusetts	HOHISTOH	13,402	0.77076
41 Oklahoma	Hollis	1,524	0.762%	41 Oklahoma	Hollis	15,240	0.762%
42 Tennessee	Savannah	1,496	0.748%	42 Tennessee	Savannah	14,964	0.748%
43 Virginia	Lynchburg	1,464			Lynchburg	14,635	0.732%
44 Wyoming	Lovell	1,455	0.727%	C	Lovell	14,547	0.727%
45 New Hampshire	Auburn	1,433	0.727%	45 New Hampshire		14,131	0.727%
45 New Hampshile	AUUUIII	1,413	0.707/0	To INEW Hampshire	Auduli	17,131	0.707/0
46 Arkansas	Jonesboro	1,396	0.698%	46 Arkansas	Jonesboro	13,959	0.698%
47 New Mexico	Clayton	1,262	0.631%	47 New Mexico	Clayton	12,620	0.631%
48 Alabama	Millbrook	920	0.460%		Millbrook	9,199	0.460%
49 Hawaii	Kauai	800	0.400%		Kauai	8,000	0.400%
50 Delaware	Smyrna	443	0.222%		Smyrna	4,430	0.222%
50 Delaware	2, 1110	113	J.222/0	30 Dolaware	, · · · · ·	1,750	3.222/0

Table 29 (cont'd.): Rural Industrial Property Taxes (50% Personal Property)

Payable 2005 \$25 MILLION-VALUED PROPERTY \$12,500,000 Machinery and Equipment \$10,000,000 Inventories \$2,500,000 Fixtures

\$2,500,000 Fixtures	C:t-	Not Tox	ETD
Rank State	City	Net Tax	ETR
1 17	T 1	#1 000 22 0	2.0100/
1 Kansas	Larned	\$1,909,320	3.819%
2 South Carolina	Mullins	1,553,871	3.108%
3 Texas	Fort Stockton	1,382,750	2.766%
4 Connecticut	Windham	1,199,520	2.399%
5 Michigan	Escanaba	1,130,800	2.262%
(Missississi	T-1	1.050.104	2 11 (0/
6 Mississippi	Tylertown	1,058,184	2.116%
7 Indiana	North Vernon	946,510	1.893%
8 Arizona	Winslow	923,968	1.848%
9 New York	Plattsburgh	922,837	1.846%
10 Florida	Moore Haven	885,108	1.770%
11 Minnesota	Glencoe	789,014	1.578%
12 IOWA	Hampton	775,733	1.551%
13 Nebraska	Mullen	769,982	1.540%
14 Louisiana	Natchitoches	763,137	1.526%
15 Ohio	Marion	749,943	1.500%
13 01110	TVIAITOII	, 15,515	1.50070
16 Missouri	Boonville	743,273	1.487%
17 Idaho	Saint Anthony	714,845	1.430%
18 Montana	Dillon	693,143	1.386%
AVERAGE		649,420	1.299%
19 Colorado	Walsenburg	647,584	1.295%
20 New Jersey	Maurice River Township	645,067	1.290%
21 Georgia	Fitzgerald	640,434	1.281%
22 Illinois	Carlinville	630,692	1.261%
23 Wisconsin	Mayville	625,306	1.251%
24 Vermont	Morristown	591,101	1.182%
25 Oregon	Coos Bay	584,000	1.168%
26 M . 4 D 1 .	D. W.	550.055	1.1060/
26 North Dakota	Bottineau	552,855	1.106%
27 West Virginia	Parsons	534,511	1.069%
28 South Dakota	Sisseton	533,205	1.066%
29 Utah	Richfield	531,360	1.063%
30 Washington	Rock Island	530,581	1.061%
31 Maine	Hudson	528,000	1.056%
32 Rhode Island	Hopkinton	487,025	0.974%
33 Nevada	Fallon	486,638	0.974%
34 Alaska	Fairbanks	477,549	0.975%
35 North Carolina	Asheboro	442,954	0.886%
33 North Caronna	Ashcoolo	442,934	0.00070
36 Kentucky	Lawrenceburg	441,974	0.884%
37 Pennsylvania	Williamsport	430,051	0.860%
38 California	Red Bluff	401,480	0.803%
39 Maryland	Hampstead	388,500	0.777%
40 Massachusetts	Holliston	385,045	0.770%
41 Oklahoma	Hollis	381,000	0.762%
42 Tennessee	Savannah	374,110	0.748%
43 Virginia	Lynchburg	365,886	0.732%
44 Wyoming	Lovell	363,667	0.727%
45 New Hampshire	Auburn	353,280	0.707%
46 Arkansas	Jonesboro	348,968	0.6080/
46 Arkansas 47 New Mexico	Clayton	,	0.698%
	2	315,489	0.631%
48 Alabama	Millbrook Kauai	229,970	0.460%
49 Hawaii		200,000	0.400%
50 Delaware	Smyrna	110,762	0.222%

Table 30: Rural Industrial Property Taxes (60% Personal Property) Payable 2005

\$100,000 VALUED PROPERTY \$75,000 Machinery and Equipment

\$15,000 Fixtures

\$60,000 Inventories

\$1 MILLION-VALUED PROPERTY \$750,000 Machinery and Equipment \$600,000 Inventories \$150,000 Fixtures

Rank State	City	Net Tax	ETR	Rank	State		Net Tax	ETR
						_		
1 Kansas	Larned	\$9,069	3.628%		Kansas	Larned	\$90,693	3.628%
2 South Carolina	Mullins		2.954%		South Carolina	Mullins	73,849	2.954%
3 Texas	Fort Stockton		2.766%		Texas	Fort Stockton	69,138	2.766%
4 Connecticut	Windham		2.279%		Connecticut	Windham	56,977	2.279%
5 Michigan	Escanaba	5,371	2.149%	5	Michigan	Escanaba	53,713	2.149%
6 Mississippi	Tylertown	5,323	2.129%	6	Mississippi	Tylertown	53,229	2.129%
7 Indiana	North Vernon	4,582	1.833%	7	Indiana	North Vernon	45,820	1.833%
8 Florida	Moore Haven	4,235	1.694%	8	Arizona	Winslow	43,106	1.724%
9 Louisiana	Natchitoches	3.840	1.536%	9	Florida	Moore Haven	42,351	1.694%
10 New York	Plattsburgh		1.477%		Louisiana	Natchitoches	38,400	1.536%
11 Nebraska	Mullen	3,668	1.467%	11	Ohio	Marion	37,151	1.486%
12 Missouri	Boonville		1.415%		New York	Plattsburgh	36,913	1.477%
13 Idaho	Saint Anthony		1.349%		Nebraska	Mullen	36,676	1.467%
14 Montana	Dillon		1.330%		Missouri	Boonville		1.415%
15 Colorado	Walsenburg		1.281%		Idaho	Saint Anthony		1.349%
	_					·		
16 Ohio	Marion	,	1.255%		Montana	Dillon	33,239	1.330%
17 Georgia	Fitzgerald	,	1.252%		Colorado	Walsenburg	32,030	1.281%
18 IOWA	Hampton		1.241%	18	Georgia	Fitzgerald		1.252%
19 Arizona	Winslow		1.218%	19	IOWA	Hampton		1.241%
AVERAGE			1.178%	20	Minnesota	Glencoe	,	1.219%
20 Oregon	Coos Bay	2,848	1.139%		AVERAGE		29,941	1.198%
21 West Virginia	Parsons	2,691	1.076%	21	Oregon	Coos Bay	28,484	1.139%
22 Wisconsin	Mayville	2,615	1.046%	22	West Virginia	Parsons	26,910	1.076%
23 New Jersey	Maurice River Township	2,580	1.032%		Wisconsin	Mayville	26,149	1.046%
24 Washington	Rock Island	2,534	1.014%	24	New Jersey	Maurice River Township	25,803	1.032%
25 Utah	Richfield	2,524	1.010%		Washington	Rock Island	25,342	1.014%
26 Illinois	Carlinville	2,523	1.009%	26	Utah	Richfield	25,240	1.010%
27 Maine	Hudson	2,508	1.003%		Illinois	Carlinville	25,228	1.009%
28 Minnesota	Glencoe	2,403	0.961%		Maine	Hudson	25,080	1.003%
29 Vermont	Morristown		0.946%		Vermont	Morristown	23,644	0.946%
30 Nevada	Fallon	2,320	0.928%		Nevada	Fallon	23,195	0.928%
21.31 (1.7) 1	D ""	2 21 1	0.0050/	21	N. A.D.L.	D. //	22.114	0.0050/
31 North Dakota	Bottineau	2,211	0.885%		North Dakota	Bottineau	22,114	0.885%
32 Kentucky	Lawrenceburg		0.855%		Kentucky	Lawrenceburg	21,368	0.855%
33 South Dakota	Sisseton	2,133	0.853%		South Dakota	Sisseton	21,328	0.853%
34 North Carolina	Asheboro	2,128	0.851%		North Carolina	Asheboro	21,284	0.851%
35 Rhode Island	Hopkinton	2,037	0.815%	35	Rhode Island	Hopkinton	20,367	0.815%
36 Alaska	Fairbanks	1,910	0.764%	36	Alaska	Fairbanks	19,102	0.764%
37 California	Red Bluff	1,907	0.763%	37	California	Red Bluff	19,070	0.763%
38 Oklahoma	Hollis	1,905	0.762%	38	Oklahoma	Hollis	19,050	0.762%
39 Arkansas	Jonesboro	1,787	0.715%	39	Arkansas	Jonesboro	17,869	0.715%
40 Virginia	Lynchburg	1,746	0.698%	40	Virginia	Lynchburg	17,455	0.698%
41 Tennessee	Savannah	1,744	0.698%	41	Tennessee	Savannah	17,439	0.698%
42 Wyoming	Lovell	1,727	0.691%		Wyoming	Lovell	17,274	0.691%
43 Pennsylvania	Williamsport	1,720	0.688%		Pennsylvania	Williamsport	17,202	0.688%
44 Maryland	Hampstead	1,710	0.684%		Maryland	Hampstead	17,100	0.684%
45 Massachusetts	Holliston	1,613	0.645%		Massachusetts	Holliston	16,128	0.645%
46 New Mexico	Clayton	1,513	0.605%	46	New Mexico	Clayton	15,127	0.605%
47 New Hampshire	Auburn	1,413	0.565%		New Hampshire		14,131	0.565%
48 Alabama	Millbrook	1,094	0.438%		Alabama	Millbrook	10,939	0.438%
49 Hawaii	Kauai	800	0.320%		Hawaii	Kauai	8,000	0.320%
50 Delaware	Smyrna	443	0.177%		Delaware	Smyrna	4,430	0.177%
55 Delawate	~ ₁	CFF	0.1//0	50	2014,7410	2111 J 1110	1,730	0.1///0

Table 30 (cont'd.): Rural Industrial Property Taxes (60% Personal Property)
Payable 2005

\$25 MILLION-VALUED PROPERTY \$18,750,000 Machinery and Equipment

\$15,000,000 Inventories

\$3,750,000 Fixtures
Rank State

\$3,750,000 Fixtures		3.T. (PD	EED
Rank State	City	Net Tax	ETR
1 V	T I	en 267 210	2 (200/
1 Kansas	Larned	\$2,267,318	3.628%
2 South Carolina	Mullins	1,846,213	2.954%
3 Texas	Fort Stockton	1,728,438	2.766%
4 Connecticut	Windham	1,424,430	2.279%
5 Michigan	Escanaba	1,342,825	2.149%
6 Mississippi	Tylertown	1,330,716	2.129%
7 Indiana	North Vernon	1,145,491	1.833%
8 Arizona	Winslow	1,111,417	1.778%
9 Florida	Moore Haven	1,058,772	1.694%
10 Louisiana	Natchitoches	960,012	1.536%
11 Ohio	Marian	044 179	1 5110/
	Marion	944,178	1.511%
12 New York	Plattsburgh	922,837	1.477%
13 Nebraska	Mullen	916,897	1.467%
14 Missouri	Boonville	884,681	1.415%
15 Idaho	Saint Anthony	842,907	1.349%
16 Montana	Dillon	830,973	1.330%
17 Colorado	Walsenburg	800,750	1.281%
18 Minnesota	Glencoe	789,014	1.262%
19 Georgia	Fitzgerald	782,704	1.252%
20 IOWA	Hampton	775,733	1.241%
AVERAGE		750,052	1.200%
21.0	C D	710 105	1 1200/
21 Oregon	Coos Bay	712,105	1.139%
22 West Virginia	Parsons	672,746	1.076%
23 Wisconsin	Mayville	653,729	1.046%
24 New Jersey	Maurice River Township	645,067	1.032%
25 Washington	Rock Island	633,540	1.014%
26 Utah	Richfield	630,990	1.010%
27 Illinois	Carlinville	630,692	1.009%
28 Maine	Hudson	627,000	1.003%
29 Vermont	Morristown	591,101	0.946%
30 Nevada	Fallon	579,880	0.928%
31 North Dakota	Bottineau	552 955	0.885%
		552,855	
32 Kentucky	Lawrenceburg	534,193	0.855%
33 South Dakota	Sisseton	533,205	0.853%
34 North Carolina	Asheboro	532,091	0.851%
35 Rhode Island	Hopkinton	509,163	0.815%
36 Alaska	Fairbanks	477,549	0.764%
37 California	Red Bluff	476,758	0.763%
38 Oklahoma	Hollis	476,250	0.762%
39 Arkansas	Jonesboro	446,718	0.715%
40 Virginia	Lynchburg	436,386	0.698%
41 Tennessee	Savannah	435,985	0.698%
42 Wyoming	Lovell	431,854	0.691%
			0.688%
43 Pennsylvania	Williamsport	430,051	
44 Maryland 45 Massachusetts	Hampstead Holliston	427,500 403,208	0.684% 0.645%
15 Massachusetts	1101110111	103,200	0.015/0
46 New Mexico	Clayton	378,169	0.605%
47 New Hampshire	Auburn	353,280	0.565%
48 Alabama	Millbrook	273,470	0.438%
49 Hawaii	Kauai	200,000	0.320%
50 Delaware	Smyrna	110,762	0.177%

Table 31: Rural Apartment Property Taxes
Payable 2005
\$600,000VALUED PROPERTY
\$30,000 Fixtures

Rank State	City	Net Tax	ETR
1 New York	Plattsburgh	\$22,148	3.516%
	Windham		
2 Connecticut 3 IOWA	Hampton	18,892	2.999%
	Escanaba	18,618	2.955%
4 Michigan 5 Texas	Fort Stockton	17,617	2.796%
3 Texas	Fort Stockton	17,423	2.766%
6 New Jersey	Maurice River Township	15,482	2.457%
7 Illinois	Carlinville	15,137	2.403%
8 Wisconsin	Mayville	14,325	2.274%
9 Kansas	Larned	14,297	2.269%
10 Vermont	Morristown	14,186	2.252%
11 South Carolina	Mullins	13,603	2.159%
12 Florida	Moore Haven	13,601	2.159%
13 North Dakota	Bottineau	13,269	2.106%
14 Indiana	North Vernon	13,165	2.090%
15 Mississippi	Tylertown	12,969	2.059%
16 South Dakota	Sisseton	12,797	2.031%
17 Nebraska	Mullen	12,015	1.907%
18 Idaho	Saint Anthony	11,522	1.829%
19 Alaska	Fairbanks	11,461	1.819%
20 Rhode Island	Hopkinton	11,461	1.771%
20 Knode Island	поркинон	11,137	1.//1/0
21 Pennsylvania	Williamsport	10,321	1.638%
AVERAGE	•	9,752	1.548%
22 Georgia	Fitzgerald	8,980	1.425%
23 Massachusetts	Holliston	8,805	1.398%
24 Montana	Dillon	8,479	1.346%
25 New Hampshire	Auburn	8,479	1.346%
26 Maryland	Hampstead	8,388	1.331%
27 Oregon	Coos Bay	8,379	1.330%
28 Maine	Hudson	8,316	1.320%
29 Minnesota	Glencoe	8,273	1.313%
30 Washington	Rock Island	8,204	1.302%
31 Ohio	Marion	0 202	1 2020/
32 Nevada	Fallon	8,202	1.302% 1.220%
33 North Carolina		7,683	
	Asheboro Boonville	6,709	1.065%
34 Missouri	Parsons	6,598	1.047%
35 West Virginia	Parsons	6,525	1.036%
36 California	Red Bluff		1.004%
37 Louisiana	Natchitoches	6,270	0.995%
38 Tennessee	Savannah	6,256	0.993%
39 Kentucky	Lawrenceburg	6,181	0.981%
40 Virginia	Lynchburg	5,739	0.911%
41 Arizona	Winslow	4,937	0.784%
42 Oklahoma	Hollis	4,801	0.762%
43 Hawaii	Kauai	4,800	0.762%
44 Utah	Richfield	4,782	0.759%
45 Wyoming	Lovell	4,732	0.751%
46 New Mexico	Clayton	4,346	0.690%
47 Arkansas	Jonesboro	3,918	0.622%
48 Alabama	Millbrook	3,605	0.622 % 0.572%
49 Delaware	Smyrna	2,658	0.372%
50 Colorado	Walsenburg	2,038	0.422/6
50 Cololado	m discilouig	2,239	0.555/0

VI. Appendix A: Methodology and Assumptions

This study updates the 50-State Property Tax Comparison Study: Payable Year 2004. Included are four distinct classes of property using a standard set of assumptions about their "true" market values and the split between real and personal property. The tax was calculated for variously-valued parcels in the largest urban area of each state and the District of Columbia, for the largest fifty cities in the United states, and for a typical rural area in each state. Additional large cities were added to the urban comparison when the largest city was considered not to be typical.

More specific details about key assumptions are provided in the sections below.

Data Collection

Data for property tax calculations was collected in one of two ways. Where possible, property tax data was collected directly from information available through various state and local websites. Where such reports were not available, property taxes were calculated using a contact-verification approach in which state and local tax experts were asked to provide information. In both cases, this information served as the basis for calculations by the Minnesota Taxpayers Association staff. Those calculations were, in turn, subject to local verification when necessary. Previous research provided contact names of each state's property tax expert, usually a state or local government employee, who would assist us in calculating the property tax and verify background information about their property tax system.

Components of the Property Tax Calculation

As an aid in reviewing the remaining assumptions of this study, it is helpful to think of the property tax calculation as having five distinct components: (1) a "true" market value (TMV), (2) a local sales ratio (SR), (3) a statutory classification system (classification rate) or other provisions that effectively determine the proportion of the assessor's estimated market value that is taxable (CR), (4) the total local property tax rate (TR), and (5) applicable property tax credits (C). Accordingly, the net local property tax for a given parcel of property is written:

Net Property $Tax = TMV \times SR \times CR \times TR - C$

Assumptions about each component are discussed in the sections below.

True Market Value (TMV)

It is important to note that the calculations for this study start with an assumption about the true market value of the four classes of property. This is the market value of a parcel of property as determined in the local real estate market consisting of arm-length transactions between willing buyers and sellers. This is in contrast to "assessed value" or "estimated market value," which, in most states is the starting point for the tax calculation.

This study assumes the true market value of each property type is the same for each state. For example, the ranking of property taxes on a residential homestead parcel with a true market value of \$150,000 assumes that the parcel is actually worth \$150,000 in the local real estate market in each location in each state, regardless of what the local assessor may think the property is worth.

In the cases of some locations the assumed true market value may be very atypical (a \$150,000 home in Boston, for example). Nevertheless, this study assumes the property exists there. Essentially the goal of this study is to compare the effects of property tax structures. By fixing values we are able to observe the isolated effects of tax structures. That is, we are comparing property taxes, not local real estate markets. However, we have added a table showing median values for single-family homes in the largest urban area of each state.

The specific market value assumed for each class of property in this report is described below in the section on property classes.

Sales Ratios (SR)

A unique aspect of this study is the inclusion of the effects of assessment practices on relative tax burdens across the country. It would have been much simpler to start the calculations by fixing the assessor's "estimated market value" for each property. This would have resulted in a comparison of only the statutory property tax structure. However, in every state, the quality of property tax assessments is a significant aspect of the local property tax scene. Omission of this aspect of the property tax calculation would have made this study much less useful.

Sales ratios are simply a measure of the quality of assessments. The sales ratio is determined by comparing assessments to actual sales. If a sales ratio is: above 100%, the property is over assessed, below 100%, the property is under assessed, is 100%, assessments and market values are equal. If the sales ratios are at 100% that generally indicates that reassessments have just occurred. In some states, sales ratios are used to adjust assessor's values for use in state aid formulas that use local property wealth as a measure of local fiscal capacity. Sales ratios are generally not used in calculating an individual's actual property tax bill; however, some states use an equalization factor for calculating property tax bills, a factor that equalizes assessment values to market values.

In order for the tax liabilities to represent the actual experience of property owners, and to compare "effective" property tax rates across the states, it was important to use the true market value as a point of reference.

We attempted to adjust the assumed true market value of our sample properties with the use of sales ratios applicable to the location and type of property being studied. These are normally county-level sales ratios for the specific classes of property. Where location and class specific ratios were not available, we tried to use the ratio most applicable to the property (either a statewide ratio for the class, or in some cases, a county ratio applicable to all property classes).

By applying sales ratios, this study recognizes that our \$150,000 residential homestead may be "on the books" at \$155,000 in one location, and \$140,000 in another, and that the actual tax on the property will be based on these "estimates" of market value. In this study, if the relevant sales ratio in a given location is 93%, we convert the \$150,000 true market value to \$139,500 (\$150,000 x .93) before applying the provisions of the local property tax.

It is important that we use sales ratios in this study because our fixed reference point for all calculations is an assumed true market value.

In the case of personal property, sales ratios are not used. Many states do not have sales ratios for personal property or assume they are 100%. Personal property assessments are often not market-based, but based on depreciation schedules and other accounting techniques. Consequently, we

simply set the "assessment value" of personal property by assumption, side-stepping the myriad ways a state might arrive at that number.

Classification Rates (CR)

The third component of the property tax calculation involves subjecting the assessor's estimated market value to provisions designed to affect the distribution of property tax levies, namely statutory classification or differential assessment schemes.

In the absence of classification or differential assessments, the distribution of property tax burdens by class of property will reflect the distribution of the assessor's estimated market values, assuming the properties are located in the same set of taxing jurisdictions. That is, a home assessed at \$100,000 and a business with the same assessment would pay identical property taxes and their effective tax rates (tax as a percent of assessed value) would be the same.

In most states, classification schemes are set by state legislatures. In a few states classification is partly determined by local governments.

Because of the wide variation in the quality of assessments across the states, particularly across classes of property, many states that appear to have no classification scheme may in fact have significant classification via uneven assessments across classes of property, in some cases, perhaps, in violation of state constitution uniformity provision. Some states, like Minnesota, enforces strict standards of assessment quality (sales ratio studies, state orders adjusting values, state certification of assessors, etc.) and put their classification policy in statute.

Total Local Tax Rate (TR)

Tax rates requested were state and local, payable 2005 applicable to the greatest number of parcels in the largest urban area of each state. "Payable 2005 tax rate" was defined as the tax rate used to calculated the property taxes with a lien date originating in 2005, regardless of the date(s) on which payments are due. In any one city, there may be many different taxing jurisdictions, essentially intersections of city, county, school district, and special taxing district. We asked for the local tax rates for the intersection with the largest number of properties.

We were careful to include the tax rate for all taxing jurisdictions that "normally" levy against real and personal property (namely, cities, counties, school districts, and special taxing districts). Special assessments were excluded from this study since they are more in the nature of user charges, do not affect a majority of parcels, and are usually not sources of general revenue.

Credits (C)

The final step in the tax calculation is to recognize any general deductions from the gross property tax calculations (credits), but these are rare. More common are circuit-breaker refunds which provide homestead reductions based on the gross tax of the property and property owner's income. In our homestead examples we allowed for the effects of circuit-breakers assuming the homeowner has income from wages only of \$40,000 and \$80,000 for the \$70,000 and \$150,000 homes, respectively. However, we found no state circuit-breaker program that provided relief in our homestead examples.

Any other credits that apply to a majority of parcels of the specified type were included in our calculations.

Property Classes and True Market Values

The four hypothetical properties studied in this report are (1) residential homesteads, (2) commercial property, (3) industrial property, and (4) apartments.

These classes of property were selected to provide information about certain recurring property tax reform themes in the State of Minnesota, namely the tax on homesteads relative to those on business and apartment property. Other classes of property were omitted either because of their complexity (public utilities, farms), or because the need for information about them was less urgent, at least in Minnesota. The four classes of property studied comprise nearly 80% of all the market value of real and personal property in Minnesota.

For the homestead property, we assumed two different values of real property, a low value and a high value. Apartment property consists of only one value. This updated study added a third value of \$25 million for commercial and industrial property. All classes of property contained a corresponding set of assumptions about personal property. While this may seem an unnecessary complication to many readers, note that the Minnesota property tax system includes "tiered" classifications based on value (similar to income tax brackets). In Minnesota, the first \$500,000 of estimated market value of a residential home is taxed at 80% the rate applicable to the value over \$500,000. Business value over \$150,000 is taxed about 1.4 times more heavily than value under \$150,000.

Taxes were calculated for the four classes of property in the largest urban area of each state and the District of Columbia, plus the additional cities requested by participating member NTC states. The following table summarizes the property classes and assumed true market values (and assessed value of personal property) used for each class.

PROPERTY CLASSES AND TRUE MARKET VALUES

Values of Property										
Class	Real	Mach. &	Inventories	Fixtures	Total					
		Equip.								
Homestead	\$150,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$150,000					
	\$300,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$300,000					
Apartments	\$600,000	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$630,000					
Commercial	\$100,000	\$0	\$0	\$20,000	\$120,000					
	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$200,000	\$1,200,000					
	\$25,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$5,000,000	\$30,000,000					
Industrial	\$100,000	\$50,000	\$40,000	\$10,000	\$200,000					
(50% Personal)	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	\$400,000	\$100,000	\$2,000,000					
	\$25,000,000	\$12,500,000	\$10,000,000	\$2,500,00	\$50,000,000					
Industrial	\$100,000	\$75,000	\$60,000	\$15,000	\$250,000					
(60% Personal)	\$1,000,000	\$750,000	\$600,000	\$150,000	\$2,500,000					
	\$25,000,000	\$18,750,000	\$15,000,000	\$3,750,000	\$62,500,000					

Real and Personal Property

The treatment of personal property is a significant part of the property tax in every state. To get an appropriate ranking of the property taxes on all classes of property, and particularly personal property, it is important to make specific assumptions about the amount of personal property associated with each example.

As the table above shows, we made specific assumptions about the amount of personal property associated with each property example. We define the types of property as follows:

Real Property

Property consisting of land and buildings not classified as personal property for tax purposes.

Personal Property - Machinery and Equipment

Large and ponderous equipment, generally not portable and often mounted on special foundations. It would include such items as large printing presses and assembly robots.

Personal Property – Inventories

This includes raw materials, unfinished products, supplies and similar items.

Personal Property - Fixtures

Fixtures include such items as home or office furnishings, display racks, tools and similar items, but excluding motor vehicles. In the case of apartments, it would include such things as stoves, refrigerators, garbage disposals, air conditioners, drapes, and lawn care equipment.

The specific mix of real and personal property obviously varies by industry and location. Since some states tax most personal property and other states exempt all personal property, the tax rankings, particularly for the industrial example, are sensitive to the assumed mix of values.

In the body of this report, we present industrial rankings based on a 50% - 50% and 40% - 60% mix of real and personal property value, respectively.

This study does not include intangibles such as bank balances or financial securities in the property tax calculations.

Effective Tax Rates (ETRs)

Repeated reference has already been made to the concept of effective tax rates. In contrast to statutory tax rates that generally apply to taxable values, in this study effective tax rates are used to express the relationship between net property taxes and the true market value of the property. By including the effects of all statutory tax provisions as well as the effects of local assessment practices, effective tax rates have the virtue of allowing more meaningful comparisons across states and property types.

The comparison tables included in this report show actual dollar taxes and effective tax rates ranked from highest to lowest as well as alphabetically.

Special Property Tax Provisions

This study excludes all "special property tax provisions." These are defined as provisions that, in practice, apply to less than half of all taxpayers for a given class of property. Special provisions are normally triggered by special circumstances or attributes of the taxpayer or property. Examples would include senior tax deferrals, and special valuation exclusions based on age, health or special use.

The goal of this study is to compare the actual tax experience of the largest number of taxpayers in the selected jurisdictions.

What Do Rankings Mean?

Property tax rankings must be evaluated in the broader context of each state's fiscal system. The level of property taxes in each state reflects the level of local spending there, intergovernmental aids paid to local governments, the relative use of non-property tax sources of financing public services such as local income or sales taxes and fees, for selected classes of property, state and local policies that affect the distribution of the property tax burden across properties.